Propelling ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction systems of care into the air
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Prompt recognition and early coronary artery reperfusion are the cornerstones of any ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) systems of care. American and European guidelines recommend rapid transport of patients to a STEMI receiving center capable of high-quality primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), if first medical contact to PCI reperfusion can be achieved within 120 minutes.\textsuperscript{1,2} The boundary between rural and urban areas has typically been assigned by civil boundaries. It has been long understood that geographic disparities exist for many rural communities that are too distant to reliably provide timely STEMI transport. As urban cities expand in population density and geographic size, timely STEMI transport is jeopardized due to distances from fringes of those areas and congested traffic patterns. The alternative to primary PCI defaults to primary thrombolytic therapy; however, the wealth of clinical trial evidence indicates that thrombolytic therapy is associated with worse ischemic and bleeding outcomes in comparison with timely PCI. The integration of helicopter emergency medical services (HEMS) in modern STEMI systems of care has improved the timeliness of transport of these patients and improved outcomes.\textsuperscript{3,4}

In this issue of Kardiologia Polska (Kardiol Pol, Polish Heart Journal) Świeżewski et al\textsuperscript{5} investigated in their retrospective analysis the patient characteristics and early outcomes of more than 6000 patients with STEMI that were transferred from rural and urban communities to STEMI receiving centers in Poland. The authors observed that HEMS facilitated efficient interhospital transport of patients with STEMI for PCI, and patients who were transported from rural locations had a greater prevalence of hemodynamic compromise presumably due to delays in STEMI recognition. Although the authors do not characterize in-hospital outcomes, the use of thrombolytic therapy, and how often HEMS could not be
dispatched due to weather conditions, the overall HEMS STEMI transport effort seems favorable for patients with STEMI in and around Poland.

Notably, the authors describe close coordination between ground emergency medical services (GEMS) and HEMS and this was particularly evident for rural transport. In our own institution’s experience involving STEMI transport from rural Wisconsin between 2015 and 2019, HEMS intercept with GEMS at designated sites was associated with the lowest first medical contact to coronary reperfusion times, in comparison with GEMS and HEMS transfer alone, despite similar median distances travelled (FIGURE 1). Gunnarsson et al. observed that having physicians on board HEMS for STEMI transport improved in-hospital outcomes.

Modern HEMS systems are equipped with enhanced aviation safety technology and are now capable of transporting patients in shock with percutaneous circulatory and oxygenation support devices. Unfortunately, little is understood regarding the financial cost implications of HEMS for STEMI transport in the United States, Europe, and elsewhere. In another example, the cost-effectiveness of HEMS for the transfer of trauma patients is dependent upon the mortality and morbidity reduction that the HEMS transfer affords and over-triage of patients with minor injuries. Until such data are available, judicious utilization of HEMS for STEMI transfer to enable timely primary PCI seems to be a reasonable use of this resource within the framework of a comprehensive STEMI system of care.
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