Cardiac arrest registries: the need for a clear and strategic plan and concept

Jan-Thorsten Gräsner1,2, Ingvild Tjelmeland1,3, Jan Wnent1,2,4
1 Institute for Emergency Medicine, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany
2 Department of Anaesthesiology, Campus Kiel, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany
3 Division of Prehospital Services, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
4 School of Medicine, University of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia

It is well-known and often emphasized in the literature that cardiac arrest is one of the leading causes of death in Europe and high-income countries. By and large, any paper dealing with cardiac arrest starts with an introduction like this. Randomized controlled trials are rare in this patient group due to the nature of the diseases. Due to lack of evidence, additional, knowledge-generating sources should be used. It is also common knowledge that factors like, among others, age, medical history, and acute myocardial infarction have a huge impact on patient outcomes, independently of initial treatment. To gain more information about response, treatment, and outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) and resuscitation, registries started to be regarded as a more relevant and reliable source of information, which helps to acquire evidence on treatment and outcomes in patients after OHCA. All over the world, cardiac arrest registries have been implemented during the last 20 years. However, many European countries still do not lead national registries. The use of registry data to generate additional knowledge has some limitations but also many advantages. One important part of registry work is that the gathered information must be transferred back to the people who delivered the data. This feedback will help to provide an accurate database and suggestions for improvement at the local, regional, and national levels.

Certain factors must be taken into account to successfully establish a registry. First, a resuscitation registry should include data of all patients who sustained cardiac arrest and not only of those who were resuscitated and those who suffered from OHCA due to medical causes. As described in both large European registry studies, EuReCa (European Registry of Cardiac Arrest) ONE and EuReCa TWO,1,2 the percentage of cardiopulmonary resuscitations started in patients with OHCA varies among the European countries. Inclusion or exclusion bias will be relevant for analyses and interpretation of the data.

Second, a cardiac arrest registry, regardless of the number of included patients or size of analyzed areas, should focus on cardiac arrest and resuscitation. Additional information in the registry should only be collected if the system is capable of capturing all patients with cardiac arrest.

Third, inclusion of data points should follow the latest Utstein recommendations.3 Adopting international standards for documentation, one can compare data not only within a registry, a region, or a country but also within an international community of researchers and clinicians. Therefore, even the registry workers can draw stronger evidence from the respective databases as well as gain knowledge about different emergency medical and healthcare systems.

In Europe, registries, like the one operated in Sweden, have a long history and have been collecting data for more than 20 years.4 In some countries, like Norway, OHCA registries are supported by governments or national law.5 Some registries cover the whole country, whereas others, like the German Resuscitation Registry,7 cover sections of the country or gather local data, like the registry of Amsterdam and North Holland. Worldwide, representatives from Europe, Asia, United States, and Australia/New Zealand collaborate with each other. Within this collaboration, experts from all over the world discuss inclusion criteria, definitions, and descriptions. This knowledge is available for
all upcoming registries, and collaborators happily share their experience and expertise. Apart from that, more countries have discovered the opportunities provided by a resuscitation registry and are setting up new registries.

Sielski et al. collected data on OHCA in combination with ACS in a small region in Poland. They used different statistical methods to figure out clinical and procedural risk factors. They have added another puzzle piece to the group of OHCA registries in Poland, Europe, and in the world. They focused on the biggest group of patients with cardiac arrest and followed patients’ pathway through the first step of in-hospital treatment.

As already mentioned, registries are one of the big players in the scientific work dealing with OHCA and cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Nevertheless, registries as such will not save more lives. This will be done by medical workers like paramedics, emergency physicians, and the hospital staff. Registries should be used to gain a better understanding and knowledge about patients with cardiac arrest and treatment in order to improve survival.
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