Spatial separation of left and right ventricular leads adjusted to the left ventricular end-diastolic dimension does not affect the change of the paced QRS complex duration in resynchronization therapy
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Introduction Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is a treatment option for patients with advanced heart failure, reduced left ventricular ejection fraction, and intraventricular conduction disturbances. Despite over 20 years of experience, indications for implantation, implantation techniques, and optimal device programming remain crucial research topics, because up to 30% of patients do not benefit from treatment. There are various indicators for determining CRT effectiveness and numerous predictors of treatment response have been identified, but some of them are of limited value. One of the secondary endpoints that aroused recent research interest is the reduction (Δ) of QRS complex duration as a result of dual-chamber capture. In this study, we aimed to analyze this issue based on the assumption that QRS complex shortening and the subsequent response achieved by CRT may depend on the location of right and left ventricular leads and the “separate leads as far as achievable” rule is still followed regardless of very limited and weak evidence.

Methods No bioethics committee approval was required for this study. The project was carried out as part of a diploma thesis. The study was a retrospective, single-center analysis of the relationship of spatial right ventricular (RV) and left ventricular (LV) lead arrangement and ΔQRS: 1) The spatial distance between RV and LV leads was analyzed based on routine chest X-ray images after implantation. Implantation procedures were not intended to maximize RV–LV electrode separation, and operators were not required to follow any specific protocol associated with this study. A 90° angle between the lead tips was determined (including the selected stimulation configuration and the point on the LV multipole lead) using medical image viewer tools in the DICOM format; 2) On the chest X-ray image in the posterior-anterior projection, the length of the sides of that angle was determined, corresponding to x and y axes according to the Cartesian coordinate system. Similarly, chest X-ray was taken in the lateral projection where the horizontal distance (z axis) corresponded with the z value; 3) The distance between the lead tips was calculated from the formula √(x² + y² + z²); 4) The time of ventricular muscle depolarization was calculated from the formula √(x² + y² + z²); 4) The time of ventricular muscle depolarization was calculated from the formula √(x² + y² + z²); 5) The time of atrial muscle depolarization was calculated from the formula √(x² + y² + z²).
The Shapiro–Wilks test was used to test the normality of data distribution. Qualitative variables (sex, occurrence of coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, left bundle-branch block, New York Heart Association [NYHA] functional class) were expressed as percentages. Quantitative variables (age, left ventricular ejection fraction, QRS before CRT system implantation, QRS after CRT system implantation, distance between the left and right ventricular lead tips, LVEDD) were expressed as mean (SD) and minimum–maximum ranges. The Pearson correlation was used to assess relationships between lead separation and the difference in the duration of ventricular depolarization. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results and discussion** The study group included 70 patients (men, 84.3%) at a mean (SD) age of 73.8 (14.3) years. Up to 59% of the study patients had coronary artery disease (myocardial infarction, 40%), and 44% of them were in NYHA class III or IV and had heart failure with mean left ventricular ejection fraction of 28%. A total of 67% of the patients had left bundle-branch block. Parameters expressing the impact of the spatial arrangement of ventricular leads on the change in QRS complex duration before CRT system implantation were as follows: mean (SD), 159 (33) ms; median, 160 ms; minimum–maximum, 90–240 ms; and after CRT system implantation: mean (SD), 131 (28) ms; median, 120 ms; minimum–maximum, 70–230 ms. The distance between left and right ventricular lead tips was: mean (SD), 94.47 (26.78) mm; median, 97.45 mm; minimum–maximum, 15–158 mm, and LVEDD was: mean (SD), 66.04 (10.66) mm; median, 65 mm; minimum–maximum, 42–101 mm.

The correlation between the right and left ventricular tip distance and ΔQRS before and after implantation demonstrated power of \( r = 0.37 \) (\( P = 0.03 \)). The correlation coefficient for the duration of the QRS complex before CRT system implantation and the distance between left and right ventricular lead tips was \( r = 0.34 \) (\( P = 0.04 \)). After standardizing the separation of leads by LVEDD, the distance correlation coefficient compared with ΔQRS before and after implantation was nonsignificant \( (r = 0.12; P = 0.49; \text{FIGURE 1}) \). The change in QRS complex duration after CRT system implantation depends on the location of the leads, the electromechanical properties of the myocardium, and, as we have shown, only marginally on lead geometric distance. Considering the above conclusion and the fact that the distance between the leads is related to the size of the heart, it can be assumed that any difference in the QRS complex duration is correlated with heart size. After adjustment of the main result to LVEDD values, correlation coefficients for these parameters turned out to

![FIGURE 1 A – correlation between the spatial separation of right and left ventricular (RV–LV) leads and ΔQRS, B – correlation between the spatial separation of RV–LV leads adjusted to the left ventricular end-diastolic dimension and ΔQRS. The points on the graph correspond to each case. The density of cases in the area defined by the dashed lines reflects the strength of the correlation. Case distribution graphs are presented on the top and on the right, where the bars represent the number of cases with variable intervals (horizontal bars for ΔQRS [ms] and vertical bars for RV–LV lead separation [mm]), and the solid line shows the Gaussian curve.](image-url)

follows: atrioventricular delay, 155 ms; interventricular delay, 10 ms; 5) The correlation coefficient between lead separation and the difference in the duration of ventricular depolarization (where positive values of ΔQRS mean QRS shortening) was calculated using the Pearson correlation test; 6) Measurements of electrode separation distances were standardized by left ventricular end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD) measurements on echocardiography and the correlation with the change in the duration of the QRS complex was recalculated.

**Statistical analysis** All calculations were performed using the Statistica software, version 13 (StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma, United States).
be nonsignificant, which seems to contradict the relationship between lead separation and the change of the QRS length. Increased distance between right and left ventricular leads has been shown to affect short- and mid-term CRT outcomes; however, the cited studies were relatively small and included endpoints such as LVEDD, NYHA class, or N-terminal fragment of the prohormone brain natriuretic peptide level reduction.\(^\text{5,7-9}\) We used the QRS complex as a factor that can be measured immediately post hoc, and its value as a predictor of CRT response has recently increased.\(^\text{5,10}\) Lead separation, which may drive QRS shortening, depends on numerous modifiable and nonmodifiable factors.\(^\text{12,13}\) However, in our view, it can be recommended as a method to enhance CRT response. One should also be aware of the fact that the direct pacing of the cardiac conduction system will be an alternative to or take an adjuvant position to CRT in the near future.\(^\text{14}\)

**Conclusions** Geometrical ventricular lead separation for CRT affects the change in QRS complex duration. Although the distance between the tips of right ventricular and left ventricular leads is related to QRS shortening, this effect disappears after adjustment to LVEDD.
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