RESEARCH LETTER

Coronary artery ectasia carries a worse prognosis: a long-term follow-up study

Usama Boles^{1,2,3}, Urban Wiklund³, Santhosh David², Khalid Ahmed², Michael Y. Henein^{1,4,5,6}

- 1 Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- 2 Cardiology Department, Letterkenny University Hospital, Letterkenny, Ireland
- 3 Cardiology Department, Heart and Vascular Centre, Mater Private Hospital, Dublin, Ireland
- 4 Department of Radiation Sciences, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden
- 5 Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George University, London, United Kingdom
- 6 Brunel University London, London, United Kingdom

Introduction Coronary artery ectasia (CAE) is defined as coronary dilation that exceeds the diameter of the normal adjacent segments or the diameter of the largest coronary artery by 1.5-fold.¹ The prevalence of CAE varies between 1.5% and 5%, and could be as low as 0.4% in nonatherosclerotic CAE.² Early reports of CAE supported the aggressive nature of the disease, with frequent presentation of major adverse cardiac events (MAC-Es)³ that was attributed to disturbed inflammatory response, leading to the damage of the coronary artery intima.⁴ Furthermore, the proinflammatory response seen in the abnormal cytokine response may influence disease severity and prognosis.⁵

This study investigated the long-term clinical outcome of patients with CAE from Northern Europe. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to provide such long-term follow--up data on this population.

Patients and methods Patient selection We reviewed 16464 angiograms performed between 2003 and 2011 at the Umeå Heart Centre of Umeå University Hospital, Sweden, and Letterkenny University Hospital, Ireland, in patients with clear evidence of CAE. The following inclusion criteria were used: coronary artery diameter exceeding the original caliber of the artery or the diameter of the adjacent artery by more than 1.5-fold, the ectatic segment not localized in the artery (ie, >20 mm long and/or includes more than one-third of the artery length).² Criteria for the selection of patients with CAE were described before⁵; based on that, we selected only individuals with minimal atherosclerosis (≤20% luminal stenosis) and CAE. Medical therapy was optimized according to the clinical need and using national and European guidelines, regardless of the presence of CAE.

Follow-up data collection A total of 66 patients fulfilled the predefined inclusion criteria. Complete follow-up data with information on MACEs (ie, acute coronary syndrome, acute myocardial infarction [MI], and death from cardiac events) were collected. Data were obtained from hospitals or health center registries, clinical notes, or by a telephone interview conducted by a research nurse.

Follow-up data on CAE were compared with those from a control group of 41 consecutive patients with minimal coronary artery disease (CAD defined as \leq 20% luminal stenosis on conventional coronary angiography). Data on follow-up periods were collected for patients with CAE and controls who underwent coronary angiography between January 2008 and December 2011 (Supplementary material, *Figure S1*). The follow-up period was similar in both groups.

We excluded patients or controls who had prior coronary intervention, more than mild valve disease, or congenital heart disease at the time of the diagnostic coronary angiogram.

The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Umeå (Sweden) and Letterkenny University Hospital (North West Health Service Executive, Ireland).

Cardiovascular risk factors Data on cardiovascular (CV) risk factors for CAD, MACEs, and CV mortality were obtained from patients' medical records at the time of presentation, including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, current or former smoking, family history of CAD, and dyslipidemia. We used standard definitions for risk factors according to conventional guidelines.^{6,7} None of the patients with CAE or controls had documented inflammatory disorder or advanced kidney disease at the time of the study.

Correspondence to:

Prof. Michael Henein, MSc, PhD, FESC, FACC, FAHA, FRCP, Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden, phone: +46 907850000, email: michael.henein@umu.se Received: July 28, 2019. Revision accepted: August 30, 2019. Published online: August 30, 2019. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2019; 129 (11): 833-835 doi:10.20452/pamw.14959 Copyright by Medycyna Praktyczna, Kraków 2019

	TABLE 1 Der	lographic and	l cardiovascular	data in	patients with	coronary	artery	/ ectasia ar	d controls
--	-------------	---------------	------------------	---------	---------------	----------	--------	--------------	------------

Parameter		Controls $(n = 41)$	CAE (n = 41)	P value
Age, y, median (IQR)		61 (56–68)	68 (60–74)	0.003
Female sex, n (%)		12 (29.3)	11 (26.8)	0.81
Risk factors, n (%)	Hypertension	24 (58.5)	22 (53.7)	0.82
	Diabetes	8 (19.5)	7 (17.1)	0.78
	Smoking	15 (36.6)	30 (73.2)	0.001
	Dyslipidemia	22 (53.7)	27 (65.6)	0.26
	Family history of CAD	12 (29.3)	22 (53.7)	0.02
Overall mortality, n (%)		0	9 (22)	0.001
Cardiovascular mortalit	ty, n (%)	0	5 (12.2)	0.03
MACEs, n (%)		13 (31.7)	18 (43.9)	0.26
Follow-up, y, median (I	QR)	10.0 (9.7–10.3)	11.4 (10.1–12.2)	0.001

A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; CAE, coronary artery ectasia; IQR, interquartile range; MACE, major adverse cardiac event

Statistical analysis Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics program for Macintosh, version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York, United States). The data were reported as median (interquartile range) or as number and percentage of patients. Differences between patients and controls were assessed using the Mann-Whitney test. Proportions were analyzed by the χ^2 or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Since our hypothesis was that the CV mortality rate and number of MACEs were higher in the CAE group, the 1-sided Fisher exact test was used. However, 2-sided tests were applied to compare the prevalence of risk factors in different groups, since we expected that the prevalence could be both higher and lower in the group with the highest risk of cardiac events. Statistical significance was defined as a *P* value of less than 0.05.

Results Demographic data and cardiovascular risk factors The baseline demographic data, CV risk factors, MACEs, and CV mortality during the follow--up were assessed in the CAE and control groups (TABLE 1). Controls were slightly younger and had a shorter follow-up period than patients with CAE, but there were no differences between groups with respect to sex, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes mellitus. However, the prevalence of smoking and family history of CAD was significantly higher in patients with CAE than in controls (P = 0.001 and P = 0.02, respectively). On the other hand, the CAE group had higher CV mortality (P = 0.03) but the same rate of readmission with MACEs (P = 0.26) (TABLE 1).

Follow-up Follow-up data on MACEs and mortality were retrospectively collected for patients with CAE and controls (median duration, 10 years and 11.4 years, respectively; P = 0.001). The data were complete in 41 patients with CAE (62.1%; median age, 61 years; 12 women) (Supplementary

material, *Figure S1*). Medical therapy was standardized according to the clinical indications regardless of the presence of CAE.

Cardiovascular mortality in patients with coronary artery ectasia Cardiovascular mortality was documented in 5 of the 41 patients (12%). There were no differences in demographic characteristics or CV risk factors between survivors and nonsurvivors (P > 0.05). Mortality in the CAE group was related to ventricular arrhythmia in 2 patients, atrial fibrillation complicated by stroke in 1 patient, as well as dilated cardiomyopathy and heart failure in 2 patients (the same 2 patients were also known to have increased alcohol intake). Data are presented in Supplementary material, Figure S2A. Finally, all nonsurvivors were smokers and had dyslipidemia, with a noticeable but nonsignificant difference between subgroups (Supplementary material, Table S1).

Cardiovascular profile of patients with major adverse cardiac events During the follow-up, 18 patients with CAE (44%) developed MACEs, including 14 survivors (34%). Most events were related to the development of atrial fibrillation (4 patients), acute coronary syndrome or acute MI (3 patients), urgent coronary artery bypass surgery (2 patients), dilated cardiomyopathy leading to heart failure (2 patients), and cardiac arrest (2 patients who primarily presented with ventricular arrhythmias) (Supplementary material, Figure S2B). The patients with CAE who developed MACEs, as compared with those without MACEs, were relatively older (P = 0.09), mostly female (8 patients, P = 0.03), and had less relevant family history of CAD (P = 0.03). The other CV risk factors did not differ between groups (Supplementary material, *Table S2*).

Discussion This study presented data from a relatively long-term follow-up of patients with CAE (11.4 years; interquartile range, 10.1–12.2 years). Overall and CV-related mortality rates were significantly higher in CAE patients compared with controls. In comparison with controls, patients with CAE were slightly older, were more often smokers, and more often had a family history of CAD. Apart from smoking, the remaining conventional CV risk factors did not differ between the CAE group and controls. Overall, a subanalysis revealed that the CV risk profile failed to predict MACEs or mortality among patients with CAE, probably because of the small sample size.

Coronary artery ectasia with major adverse car-

diac events Adverse cardiac events are well--established consequences of CAE.⁸ A 3-year follow-up study showed similar clinical outcomes in patients with CAE and those with high burden of CAD.⁹ On the other hand, another study reported a nonbenign course of CAE as a result of dilated lumens with disrupted flow, a substrate for potential thrombus formation.¹⁰ In our study, patients with CAE had no significant coronary stenosis as a sign of severe atherosclerosis but demonstrated higher long-term mortality, with higher rates of CV mortality or hospital admissions due to chest pain, acute coronary syndrome, and arrhythmia. The previously suggested coronary slow flow phenomenon could explain the poorer clinical outcome, as well as the development of dilated cardiomyopathy with heart failure in 2 patients, as documented before.¹¹ Perhaps the long-term outcome shown in our patients provides stronger evidence for a worse clinical outcome in CAE compared with controls.

Cardiovascular risk profile and major adverse cardiac events in coronary artery ectasia Although conventional CV risk factors were not found to affect the development of MACEs in CAE,² another study showed that smoking was independently associated with CAE-related MACEs, particularly MI.¹⁰ Our study may support this finding, as it showed that smoking and dyslipidemia were associated with a higher risk of mortality in CAE. However, most CV risk factors (except the family history of CAD and female sex) were similar among CAE patients with and without MACEs, thus refuting the potential impact of these factors on MACEs in patients with CAE. Similarly, the CV risk factors did not affect CV mortality in the same group of patients. This finding supports our previous suggestion that CAE (particularly nonatherosclerotic) is quite different from conventional atherosclerosis, as suggested also by other studies,^{5,12} as well as showed significantly higher CV mortality and trends towards higher morbidity and occurrence of MACEs in the longterm follow-up.^{10,11}

Study limitations Despite the long-term followup of our study and the use of strict criteria for the diagnosis of CAE, the cohort was rather small, especially the number of patients with complete follow-up data. This limits the relevance of statistical findings and adjustments for confounding factors. Another limitation is the fact that controls were relatively younger, but this was due to the specific criteria for inclusion of patients with minimal disease rather than those with a significant atherosclerotic burden. Finally, there may be some differences between patients and controls in terms of individual habits and exercise training.

Conclusion Patients with CAE have a worse prognosis, with higher CV mortality than individuals with minor CAD. Among patients with CAE, older women were shown to have higher mortality. Smoking and dyslipidemia seem to have an important prognostic role in CAE.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available with the article at www.mp.pl/paim.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at pamw@mp.pl.

HOW TO CITE Boles U, Wiklund U, David S, et al. Coronary artery ectasia carries a worse prognosis: a long-term follow-up study. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2019; 129: 833-835. doi:10.20452/pamw.14959

REFERENCES

1 Endoh S, Andoh H, Sonoyama K, et al. Clinical features of coronary artery ectasia. J Cardiol. 2004; 43: 45-52.

2 Boles U, Zhao Y, David S, et al. Pure coronary ectasia differs from atherosclerosis: morphological and risk factors analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2012; 155: 321-323.

3 Markis JE, Joffe CD, Cohn PF, et al. Clinical significance of coronary arterial ectasia. Am J Cardiol. 1976; 37: 217-222. ☑

4 Iwańczyk S, Borger M, Kamiński M, et al. Inflammatory response in patients with coronary artery ectasia and coronary artery disease. Kardiol Pol. 2019; 77: 713-715.

5 Boles U, Johansson A, Wiklund U, et al. Cytokine disturbances in coronary artery ectasia do not support atherosclerosis pathogenesis. Int J Mol Sci. 2018; 19: E260. ☑

6 Karmali KN, Goff DC Jr, Ning H, Lloyd-Jones DM. A systematic examination of the 2013 ACC/AHA pooled cohort risk assessment tool for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64: 959-968. ☑

7 European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation; Reiner Z, Catapano AL, De Backer G, et al. ESC/FAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: the Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). Eur Heart J. 2011; 32: 1769-1818.

8 Olesen KKW, Madsen M, Lip G, et al. Coronary artery disease and risk of adverse cardiac events and stroke. Eur J Clin Invest. 2017; 47: 819-828. C

9 Demopoulos VP, Olympios CD, Fakiolas CN, et al. The natural history of aneurysmal coronary artery disease. Heart. 1997; 78: 136-141. C^{*}

10 Ipek G, Gungor B, Karatas MB, et al. Risk factors and outcomes in patients with ectatic infarct-related artery who underwent primary percutaneous coronary intervention after ST elevated myocardial infarction. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2016; 88: 748-753.

11 Befeler B, Aranda MJ, Embi A, et al. Coronary artery aneurysms: study of the etiology, clinical course and effect on left ventricular function and prognosis. Am J Med. 1977; 62: 597-607.

12 Boles U, Pinto RC, David S, et al. Dysregulated fatty acid metabolism in coronary ectasia: an extended lipidomic analysis. Int J Cardiol. 2017; 228: 303-308. C²