

In-hospital mortality in Poland: what can we learn from administrative data?

Małgorzata M. Bała¹, Magdalena Koperny², Paweł Stefanoff³

¹ Chair of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Kraków, Poland

² Polish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Tariff System, Warsaw, Poland

³ Division of Infection Control and Environmental Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

RELATED ARTICLE

by Walicka et al,
see p. 268

In the 19th century, Florence Nightingale postulated the use of in-hospital mortality to evaluate the quality of care in healthcare facilities.¹ Since 1821, Massachusetts General Hospital has been annually reporting mortality figures, which are made available to the public.² Nowadays, we are fully aware that in-hospital mortality allows us to monitor safety and quality of healthcare services³ by setting up country-specific benchmarks, with which each hospital can be compared. Moreover, the data are routinely collected in hospitals and, therefore, quite easily accessible. However, data quality is of paramount importance. In-hospital mortality is a multidimensional and complex metric. Multiple factors can contribute to its value, and only some of them are associated with the quality and safety of care.⁴

The hospital standardized mortality ratio (HSMR), which was first developed in England, is one of the most relevant and universal measures.⁵ It compares the number of deaths observed in a hospital with the expected number of deaths calculated with a statistical model based on the national average. This measure is adjusted to patients' age, sex, type of hospital admission, diagnosis, and comorbidities. Several countries use HSMR to evaluate, monitor, compare, and identify areas for care improvement in hospitals.⁶ However, the current literature also indicates that divergence in coding of diagnosis, recording of comorbidities, severity of disease, and occurrence of readmissions can limit the comparability of data on HSMR.⁷ This is why HSMR should be analyzed and assessed with other indicators measured longitudinally.

Another useful measure is summary hospital-level mortality indicator (SHMI), which is used by the National Health Service in the United Kingdom. However, the use of this indicator is limited, as it is sensitive to variations in standards of clinical coding and quality of administrative data collection.^{2,8} This hampers both the comparison

between hospitals and the inference of the care quality indicator. Several studies showed that different factors, such as the level of care, number of patients at the intensive care unit, site of death, and end-of-life care, can significantly affect the indicator value.² The United States Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality developed several quality indicators based on hospital administrative data, which can be useful in other settings where administrative data are collected. Several of them include mortality rates regarding particular diseases, procedures, or groups of diagnoses, such as deaths in low-mortality diagnosis-related groups, constituting less than 0.5% of mortality in patients aged 18 years and older. A Norwegian analysis showed that indicators based only on in-hospital deaths, not including other variables nor adjusted analyses, may be misleading.⁹ Van Gestel et al⁷ also stated that the association between adjusted mortality rates and quality of care is inadequate due to several limitations and can lead to inaccurate conclusions, which is why this indicator should be analyzed with caution and in a broader context.

In this issue of *Polish Archives of Internal Medicine* (*Pol Arch Intern Med*), the study on independent predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients hospitalized in nonsurgical departments can be found.¹⁰ The approach adopted by Walicka et al¹⁰ is comprehensive, covering 2 855 029 records of patients hospitalized in Polish hospitals between January 2014 and December 2014. This study confirms previous findings indicating that age, male sex, emergency admission, and admission at the weekend are positively associated with in-hospital mortality. However, the associations observed by Walicka et al¹⁰ for in-hospital mortality in general may be not specific enough to be used in clinical practice, as the characteristics of hospital departments and, therefore, patients can significantly affect the results. Moreover, particular patient characteristics,

Correspondence to:

Małgorzata M. Bała, MD, PhD,
Chair of Epidemiology and
Preventive Medicine, Jagiellonian
University Medical College,
ul. Kopernika 7, 31-034 Kraków,
Poland, phone: +48 12 422 37 20,
email: malgorzata.1.bala@uj.edu.pl
Received: March 25, 2020.
Accepted: March 25, 2020.
Published online: April 30, 2020.
Pol Arch Intern Med. 2020;
130 (4): 264-265
doi:10.20452/pamw.15324
Copyright by the Author(s), 2020

including results of laboratory tests, comorbidities, medical history, and many other factors, can considerably affect the mortality risk. For example, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, and comorbidities are potential variables, which influence the risk of death in patients with cardiac diseases.^{11,12} Such detailed analyses help to create tools, which can be used to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with particular conditions.

The “weekend effect,” indicating that more deaths occur in patients admitted on nonworking days than in those admitted on working days, is an interesting finding of the study. A similar relationship was found in the analysis of 14 217 640 admissions, reported by the National Health Service hospitals in England.¹³ It showed that admissions at weekends were associated with an increased risk of subsequent death compared with admissions on working days (hospital mortality was defined as a number of deaths that occurred in and out of the hospital during the 30-day period).¹³ Similar findings were reported in an international comparative analysis of hospital administrative data from 4 countries with various healthcare systems, which suggests that these observations are not incidental. The causes accounting for these findings are multifactorial and not fully explained: they could include a smaller number of medical professionals or staff changes, lower availability of senior specialists, limited availability of diagnostic modalities, and many others.^{13,14} Further research is needed to elucidate this issue.

Notwithstanding this fact, as mentioned above, the crude in-hospital mortality cannot be used to assess healthcare quality and patient safety,⁵ without being adjusted to the characteristics of patients admitted to the hospitals. The study by Walicka et al¹⁰ can be considered the first important exploratory step for future studies. First, it promoted collaboration between research institutions and data owners, which will allow the researchers to further refine the analysis and test diverse adjustment procedures. Second, it will prompt the researchers to examine the potential usefulness of various mortality-based indicators in monitoring and benchmarking the quality of healthcare services.¹⁵ Third, it may facilitate more detailed analyses of in-hospital mortality determinants in different types of hospitals and in patients with various conditions, as well as help to identify areas for care improvement.

To conclude, Walicka et al¹⁰ have made a great effort to study in-hospital mortality based on a large number of available administrative records and to expand knowledge about factors associated with a higher mortality risk. The study also contributes to the currently available statistics and offers new opportunities for further research on in-hospital mortality.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

DISCLAIMER The opinions expressed by the author are not necessarily those of the journal editors, Polish Society of Internal Medicine, or publisher.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at pamw@mp.pl.

HOW TO CITE Bala MM, Koperny M, Stefanoff P. In-hospital mortality in Poland: what can we learn from administrative data? *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2020; 130: 264-265. doi:10.20452/pamw.15324

REFERENCES:

- 1 Stewart K, Choudry MI, Buckingham R. Learning from hospital mortality. *Clin Med (Lond).* 2016; 16: 530-534. [↗](#)
- 2 Meyer GS, Demehin AA, Liu X, Neuhauser D. Two hundred years of hospital costs and mortality-MGH and four eras of value in medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2012; 366: 2147-2149. [↗](#)
- 3 Hofer TP, Hayward RA. Identifying poor-quality hospitals. Can hospital mortality rates detect quality problems for medical diagnoses? *Med Care.* 1996; 34: 737-753. [↗](#)
- 4 Badia B, El Gamri A. Using in-hospital mortality as an indicator of quality care and hospital performance. *International Journal of Medicine and Surgery.* 2016; 3: 6-9. [↗](#)
- 5 Canadian Institute for Health Information. HSMR: A New Approach For Measuring Hospital Mortality Trends In Canada. Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2007. https://secure.cihi.ca/free_products/HSMR_hospital_mortality_trends_in_canada.pdf. Accessed March 24, 2020.
- 6 Jarman B, Pieter D, van der Veen AA, et al. The hospital standardised mortality ratio: a powerful tool for Dutch hospitals to assess their quality of care? *Qual Saf Health Care.* 2010; 19: 9-13. [↗](#)
- 7 van Gestel YR, Lemmens VE, Lingsma HF, et al. The hospital standardized mortality ratio fallacy: a narrative review. *Med Care.* 2012; 50: 662-667. [↗](#)
- 8 Kobewka DM, van Walraven C, Turnbull J, et al. Quality gaps identified through mortality review. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2017; 26: 141-149. [↗](#)
- 9 Kristoffersen DT, Helgeland J, Clench-Aas J, et al. Comparing hospital mortality – how to count does matter for patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction (AMI), stroke and hip fracture. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2012; 12: 364. [↗](#)
- 10 Walicka M, Chlebus M, Śliwczynski A, et al. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in nonsurgical departments: a multivariable regression analysis of 2 855 029 hospitalizations. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2020; 130: 268-275. [↗](#)
- 11 Abraham WT, Fonarow GC, Albert NM, et al. Predictors of in-hospital mortality in patients hospitalized for heart failure: insights from the Organized Program to Initiate Lifesaving Treatment in Hospitalized Patients with Heart Failure (OPTIMIZE-HF). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; 52: 347-356.
- 12 Sasaki N, Kunisawa S, Ikai H, Imanaka Y. Differences between determinants of in-hospital mortality and hospitalisation costs for patients with acute heart failure: a nationwide observational study from Japan. *BMJ Open.* 2017; 7: e013753. [↗](#)
- 13 Freemantle N, Richardson M, Wood J, et al. Weekend hospitalization and additional risk of death: an analysis of inpatient data. *J R Soc Med.* 2012; 105: 74-84. [↗](#)
- 14 Ruiz M, Bottle A, Aylin PP. The Global Comparators project: international comparison of 30-day in-hospital mortality by day of the week. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2015; 24: 492-504. [↗](#)
- 15 English, M, Mwaniki P, Julius T, et al. Hospital mortality – a neglected but rich source of information supporting the transition to higher quality health systems in low and middle income countries. *BMC Med.* 2018; 16: 32. [↗](#)