CLINICAL IMAGE

Inflammatory lesions concealing the metastatic process in the course of spondylodiscitis

Mateusz Cheda¹, Maryla Kuczyńska¹, Katarzyna Drelich², Monika Zbroja², Weronika Cyranka², Małgorzata Drelich³

1 Department of Interventional Radiology and Neuroradiology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

2 Student Scientific Society at the Department of Interventional Radiology and Neuroradiology, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

3 Department of Clinical Physiotherapy, Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland

Osteoarthritis is a common joint disorder. When affecting the spine, it becomes one of the leading health-related causes of disability and diminished quality of life.¹ Lumbar spine osteoarthritis affects approximately 40% to 85% of the population²; it is the primary differential diagnosis in the case of chronic back pain. At the other end there is spondylodiscitis, which should be taken into consideration in the event of symptom aggravation or current / past history of inflammation. *Staphylococcus aureus* is the major causative pathogen, and if no clinical improvement is seen after antimicrobial treatment, a follow-up radiological examination should be performed in search of other possible cause of symptoms.^{3,4}

We present a case of a 66-year-old male patient admitted to the emergency department due to exacerbation of recurrent low back pain with lower limb paresis and loss of sensation below the T8 vertebral body lasting for 3 days.

The medical records indicated recent hospitalization (5 months before) in the neurosurgery department due to severe pain in the lumbosacral area and no history of previous trauma. At that time, computed tomography had been performed, revealing lowering of the L1 to L4 vertebral body height with L4 body destruction and a cystic lesion adjacent bilaterally to the iliac arteries. For this reason, contrast--enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had also been carried out. It confirmed vertebral destruction and collection of inflammatory fluid (FIGURE 1A-1C). Laboratory tests had showed elevated concentrations of C-reactive protein (135 mg/l; reference range <5 mg/l) and normal procalcitonin levels (0.18 ng/ml). The pus culture had been negative for aerobes, anaerobes, and tuberculosis. The patient had undergone abscess drainage and received antibiotics (ciprofloxacin and rifampicin). His medical

history was also positive for alcohol withdrawal syndrome and recent-onset arterial thrombosis of the lower extremities with subacute ischemia of the left lower limb.

Such extensive medical history prompted a decision to perform both computed tomography and contrast-enhanced MRI of the spine, which showed complete regression of the purulent lesions within the paravertebral and dorsal muscles. However, progression of the para--aortic pathological infiltration was observed. Pathological areas were found bilaterally within the sacroiliac joints and ilia. Low signal of the prostate on T2-weighted MRI images was seen, raising the suspicion of disseminated malignancy that was previously concealed by inflammatory lesions. Such scenario could also explain multiple T1 and T2 hypointense lesions of the thoracic vertebrae with pathological contrast enhancement—most probably consistent with metastases causing spinal stenosis, spinal cord compression, and edema at the T3 and T9 levels (FIGURE 1D-1H).

The level of prostate-specific antigen was found to be elevated; biopsy revealed prostate cancer with a Gleason score of 8. The patient received anti-inflammatory and pain-relief medications, and was referred to the oncology department to continue the treatment.

The spine is the most common (90%) localization of prostate cancer metastases, especially its lumbar (97%) and thoracic sections.⁵ The presented clinical case clearly indicates that robust inflammatory infiltrations of the spine and paravertebral soft tissues may obscure metastatic lesions. It seems legitimate to perform further work-up (contrast-enhanced MRI or biopsy, if required) and evaluate the primary diagnosis if no clinical improvement is observed after targeted treatment for spondylodiscitis.

Correspondence to:

Mateusz Cheda, MD, Department of Interventional Radiology and Neuroradiology, Medical University of Lublin, ul. Jaczewskiego 8, 20-954 Lublin, Poland, phone: +48817244154, email: mateusz.cheda@gmail.com Received: July 9, 2021. Revision accepted: August 16, 2021 Published online: September 23, 2021. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2021; 131 (11): 16087 doi:10.20452/pamw.16087 Copyright by the Author(s), 2021



FIGURE 1 A–C – magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the lumbosacral spine during the first hospital stay. Axial (A) and coronal (B) T2-weighted images with fat saturation visualizing extensive abscesses localized within both iliopsoas and left erector spinae muscles (arrowheads), together with inflammatory infiltration and destruction of the L4 vertebral body (asterisk) leading to spinal stenosis at this level; C – pathological fractures and signal intensity of the L1 to L4 vertebral bodies (arrows) and pathological foci within the L5 to S2 vertebral bodies (arrowheads) D–H – MRI scans of the thoracic and lumbosacral spine performed during the second hospital admission. Sagittal (D) T2-weighted images with fat saturation visualizing pathological infiltrations of multiple thoracic vertebrae with spinal stenosis at both the T3 and T9 levels (arrows); compression to the spinal cord with increased intensity of T2 signal (edema) is visible as well. E – pathological infiltration in the intervertebral foramen on the left side and posterior/left aspect of the spinal canal (asterisk) leaving the residual anteroposterior diameter of the spinal canal of barely 6 mm (stenosis). Sagittal (F) and coronal (G) views of the irregular, soft-tissue mass (asterisk) anterior to the left sacroiliac joint that was surrounding the distal aspect of the left ureter leading to its considerable dilation (up to 18 mm in diameter) (F; arrowheads) and (H) high-grade hydronephrosis (curved arrow). The para-aortic masses are more pronounced compared with the previous study (increase in thickness from 19 mm to 30 mm) (H; arrowheads).

ARTICLE INFORMATION

CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercialShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at pamw@mp.pl.

HOW TO CITE Cheda M, Kuczyńska M, Drelich K, et al. Inflammatory lesions concealing the metastatic process in the course of spondylodiscitis. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2021; 131: 16087. doi:10.20452/pamw.16087

REFERENCES

1 Lindsey T, Dydyk AM. Spinal osteoarthritis. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2021 Jan-. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ books/NBK553190/. Accessed May 4, 2021. 2 Goode AP, Carey TS, Jordan JM. Low back pain and lumbar spine osteoarthritis: how are they related? Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2013; 15: 305. ☑

3 Gouliouris T, Aliyu SH, Brown NM. Spondylodiscitis: update on diagnosis and management. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010; 65:11-24. ☑

4 Bubendorf L, Schöpfer A, Wagner U, et al. Metastatic patterns of prostate cancer: an autopsy study of 1,589 patients. Hum Pathol. 2000; 31: 578-583. ☑

5 Lee C, Lee S, Bae J. Contiguous spinal metastasis mimicking infectious spondylodiscitis. J Korean Soc Radiol. 2015; 73: 408-412. $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}^2$