

Sarcopenia and cachexia in chronic diseases: from mechanisms to treatment

Alessia Lena^{1,2,3}, Sara Hadzibegovic^{1,2,4}, Stephan von Haehling^{5,6},
Jochen Springer^{1,2}, Andrew J. Stewart Coats⁷, Markus S. Anker^{1,2,4}

¹ Berlin Institute of Health Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT), Berlin, Germany

² German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Berlin, Berlin, Germany

³ Division of Cardiology and Metabolism, Department of Cardiology, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Virchow Klinikum, Berlin, Germany

⁴ Department of Cardiology (CBF), Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁵ Department of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medical Center, Göttingen, Germany

⁶ German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

⁷ University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

KEY WORDS

cachexia, cancer,
heart failure,
sarcopenia

ABSTRACT

Two main manifestations of wasting disorders in chronic disease are cachexia and sarcopenia. Due to shared pathological features, including impairments in systemic inflammatory responses, neurohormonal activity, and metabolic systems, the 2 disorders can present with similar symptoms (tissue depletion, dyspnea, anorexia, asthenia, fatigue, and impaired physical performance). Wasting disorders are associated with reduced quality of life and increased mortality. Cachexia is characterized by systemic tissue depletion with weight loss, and sarcopenia, by skeletal muscle loss accompanied by diminished muscular strength and physical performance. Wasting syndromes can be identified based on clinical criteria as well as with the use of multiple imaging and diagnostic techniques. Additionally, blood biomarkers can be used for diagnosing wasting disorders. In the past decade, intensive research has focused on new therapeutic strategies within a multimodal approach, which embraces nutritional support, physical activity, and targeted pharmacological therapy. Despite some initial promising therapeutic results for selected novel agents, guideline-recommended pharmacotherapy is not yet available for cachexia or sarcopenia. More research is needed to better understand these wasting disorders and learn how to treat them.

Introduction In clinical practice, wasting disorders are often underdiagnosed due to uncertainties about the diagnostic approach to cachexia/sarcopenia.¹ Currently, there are several different definitions of sarcopenia^{2,3} and cachexia.^{4,5} Since there is no agreement upon a standard definition for either disorder, it is difficult to make comparisons between studies. Sarcopenia and cachexia can complicate many different chronic diseases including cancer,⁶ heart failure (HF),^{7,8} chronic kidney disease (CKD),^{9,10} thyroid disease,¹¹ chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD),¹² and chronic liver failure.¹³ Once wasting disorders occur, they can aggravate the clinical condition of patients, impair their quality of life, cause prolonged or repeated hospitalizations, and potentially worsen patient prognosis.¹⁴ Sarcopenia and cachexia share some pathophysiological

pathways, for example, abnormalities in protein metabolism and increased inflammation.¹⁵ Still, they need to be considered separately since the therapeutic approach in the 2 conditions is different. Therefore, the purposes of this review are: 1) to clarify the definitions of sarcopenia and cachexia and their clinical involvement in chronic diseases; 2) to elucidate the common and different underlying mechanisms; 3) to present the diagnostic possibilities; and 4) to give an insight into the current therapeutic strategies for these 2 syndromes.

From definition to pathophysiology In 2016, the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People¹⁶ defined sarcopenia as a progressive degeneration of the skeletal muscle system, characterized by a decline in muscle mass, strength, and

Correspondence to:
Markus S. Anker, MD,
Department of Cardiology (CBF),
Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin,
Hindenburgdamm 30, 12200 Berlin,
Germany, phone: +49 30 450 553 092,
email: markus.anker@charite.de
Received: November 1, 2021.
Accepted: November 2, 2021.
Published online:
November 15, 2021.
Pol Arch Intern Med. 2021;
131 (12): 16135
doi:10.20452/pamw.16135
Copyright by the Author(s), 2021

function. According to this definition, a sarcopenic phenotype can be identified in 10% to 40% of the elderly population, as an age-dependent muscle degeneration.¹⁷ Sarcopenia is also observed in patients with chronic diseases, irrespective of age. For instance, the prevalence of sarcopenia among 200 chronic HF patients in the SICA-HF study (Studies Investigating Co-Morbidities Aggravating Heart Failure) was 20%.¹⁸ A recent meta-analysis in 2565 patients with COPD differentiated between population-based, clinical-based, and nursing home-based studies and found a prevalence of sarcopenia of 8%, 21%, and 63%, respectively.¹⁹ Sepulveda-Loyola et al¹² in a meta-analysis of 9637 COPD patients found that individuals with more severe COPD also showed a higher prevalence of sarcopenia compared with patients with less severe COPD (38% vs 19%). Likewise, in cancer patients, sarcopenia has been reported in up to 60% of cases, depending on cancer type and stage.²⁰ Therefore, sarcopenia cannot be considered a rare pathological condition among old, chronically ill patients and requires more clinical attention.

Cachexia manifests with an unintentional weight loss of at least 5% to 10% in the previous 12 months.⁵ It is characterized by an imbalance in energy and protein metabolism, with a predominance of energy dissipation over energy intake. Typical clinical and biochemical findings in this condition are anorexia, lower body mass index, anemia, and hypoalbuminemia⁵ as well as increased inflammation with elevated levels of inflammatory biomarkers (eg, C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor α [TNF- α], and interleukin [IL] 6).⁵ The frequency with which patients develop cachexia also greatly depends on the underlying disease. Frequencies of cachexia range from 5% to 15% in patients with end-stage HF, 20% to 30% in those with stroke, and 50% to 80% in those with advanced cancer.²¹

Both sarcopenia and cachexia clinically manifest with dyspnea, weakness, loss of appetite, and fatigue caused by the underlying metabolic dysregulation and impairment of the muscle system.²² The skeletal muscle system is not only important for mobility and strength but also acts as a regulator of metabolic processes and stores macronutrients.²³ In patients with chronic diseases, factors such as immobility, malnourishment, hormonal abnormalities, poor blood flow to the muscle, endothelial dysfunction, and chemotherapy can compromise muscular homeostasis.²⁴ Consequently, proteolytic processes and systemic inflammation can cause extensive skeletal muscle depletion. Sarcopenia can occur as a single pathological condition or be a prestage of cachexia in chronic illnesses.²⁵ In cachectic tumor-bearing rats, upregulation of autophagic processes in the muscles through TP53INP2 overexpression was observed and was important for the switch from a sarcopenic to a cachectic phenotype.²⁶ Aberrations in protein metabolism, particularly in the activin type II receptor pathway

that involves activin A, myostatin, and growth and differentiation factor 11, have been identified in both sarcopenic and cachectic phenotypes.²⁷ Myostatin, mainly released by the skeletal muscle but also by the heart and adipose tissue, negatively regulates muscle growth.²⁸ Serum concentrations of myostatin are increased in patients with HF²⁹ and CKD³⁰ and are correlated with reduced skeletal muscle strength in these individuals.

Anabolic disturbances are often observed in chronically ill patients. A study in individuals with prostate cancer receiving selective androgen deprivation therapy found that low levels of testosterone were associated with deficits in muscle mass and function of the lower limbs.³¹ Additionally, it has been shown that downregulated androgen production in patients with HF³² and end-stage liver disease³³ negatively influences their prognosis.

The adipose tissue also plays an important role in cachexia since fat tissue can release a wide range of adipokines such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-10, TNF- α , adiponectin, and leptin.³⁴ Leptin, secreted entirely by adipocytes, reduces food intake by acting on the hypothalamus. There is evidence that leptin secretion is increased in cachectic patients.^{35,36} In experimental models of hepatocellular carcinoma, it has been shown that leptin can induce skeletal muscle depletion.³⁷ Elevated levels of serum adiponectin have been found in chronic HF patients with cachexia.^{38,39} Increased levels of natriuretic peptides can cause adiponectin release in patients with chronic HF.³⁹ Higher levels of adiponectin were associated with low muscle performance in elderly, noncachectic patients with chronic HF, suggesting a key role of this adipokine in muscle metabolism.⁴⁰

It is important to underline that despite some common pathophysiological mechanisms, the 2 wasting conditions are histologically different. Abnormalities in the number and structure of myofibers type II (fast-twitch type) have predominantly been found in sarcopenia, while a reduced number of myofibers type I (slow-twitch type), associated with myofibrillary edema, have been reported mainly in cachexia.⁴¹

Cardiac wasting and malignant arrhythmias have been found in cancer patients,⁴²⁻⁴⁴ providing more evidence that catabolic processes in cachexia are generalized.^{45,46} In cancer patients, myocardial metabolism is hampered by multiple factors, such as tumor-dependent inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress, and chemotherapeutic-dependent metabolic alterations.¹⁵ As a consequence, cardiac wasting can occur and could be associated with further functional impairment.⁴⁷ Thus, maintaining myocardial homeostasis is important and requires careful therapeutic decision making, especially when dealing with cardiotoxic agents.⁴⁸ In experimental models in mice, cerebrovascular events have also been linked to myocardial impairments and abnormalities in cardiomyocytes.⁴⁹

Diagnostic assessment Imaging Since wasting disorders have a high impact on the clinical and prognostic course of the primary disease, it is important that they are detected early and patients at a high risk of developing such disorders are identified quickly.¹⁴ Several diagnostic methods are available to assess the body composition and examine the presence of tissue depletion. On computed tomography imaging, the skeletal muscle index (SMI; derived from normalization to height squared of the total muscle area) and the psoas muscle index (PMI) can be calculated based on images taken at the level of the third lumbar vertebra. Both parameters are used as markers for muscular depletion and may help in predicting preoperative complications and mortality in cancer patients.^{50,51} Cutoff points for both parameters have been previously investigated in patients with cirrhosis: low muscular mass was defined as an SMI below 50 cm²/m² in men and below 39 cm²/m² in women,⁵² while low PMI was defined as a score below 5.1 cm²/m² in men and below 4.3 cm²/m² in women. In another study involving 365 patients with chronic liver disease it was found that SMI was more robust in the detection of muscle wasting and prediction of mortality.⁵³ However, SMI and PMI measurements are rarely used in routine clinical practice—they are costly and often require additional software tools, extra time for image analysis, and experienced radiologists. Additionally, the operational procedures are complex and there are no standard thresholds for sarcopenia.⁵⁴

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA)⁵⁵ and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry scans (DEXA) represent further potential objective methods to define body composition and nutritional status in chronically ill patients.⁵⁶ These 2 techniques were recently compared in 120 HF patients⁵⁷ and they were highly comparable in the detection of fat and lean mass ($r = 0.95$; $P < 0.001$ and $r = 0.96$; $P < 0.001$, respectively); however, BIA in comparison with DEXA underestimated the fat mass (mean difference, -5.1 kg; 95% CI, -11.7 to 1.5) while overestimating the muscular mass (mean difference, 5.5 kg; 95% CI, -1.3 to 12.3). In another study, BIA was reported to be a valid screening tool in detecting fluid retention in HF patients,⁵⁸ but fluid retention proved to be a relevant determinant of the phase angle,⁵⁹ representing a potential bias. Because of the high oscillations of extracellular water compartment, and high population- and instrument-based variabilities,⁶⁰ BIA should be used with caution in clinical decision making when wasting syndromes are detected. Therefore, DEXA still remains the best diagnostic method to evaluate the body composition in the clinical setting. However, the high cost of this tool and its common use in the assessment of bone mineral density make it less available for the evaluation of nutritional and wasting statuses.

Biomarkers Biomarkers play an important role in the early detection of tissue depletion.⁶¹

Interestingly, neurohormonal markers of cachexia show a positive correlation with the progress of the primary disease in HF,⁶² suggesting a possible pathophysiological connection between the severity of wasting disorders and the underlying chronic disease. Increased levels of serological markers for skeletal muscle degradation can confirm the presence of abnormal proteolysis in wasting disorders. A wide range of biomarkers such as myostatin,⁶³ transforming growth factor β ,⁶⁴ and activin A⁶⁵ as well as proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF, IL-1, and IL-6⁶⁶ have been investigated so far. Specifically in cachectic patients, biochemical proof of lipid loss reflected by free-fatty acids⁶⁷ and zinc- α -glycoprotein,⁶⁸ as well as imbalanced levels of ghrelin⁶⁹ and leptin⁷⁰ may be useful to investigate the nutritional status and progressive tissue degradation. However, due to the complex interaction between wasting mechanisms, chronic inflammation, and neurohormonal dysregulations present in chronic diseases, none of the abovementioned biomarkers has been specifically implemented so far in clinical practice as a screening tool for wasting syndromes.⁶¹ Therefore, a multifactorial biomarker approach has been suggested as the best strategy for diagnosing wasting disorders,^{63,71} together with clinical signs and symptoms.

Treatment Today, there is still no standard approved pharmacological or nonpharmacological treatment strategy for sarcopenia or cachexia at the disposal of clinicians.⁷² Because of the overlapping nature of these disorders, a multitargeted approach aiming at increasing appetite and food intake, attenuating chronic inflammatory state, and improving exercise capacity and quality of life remains the most promising therapeutic strategy for both conditions.⁷²

In this multimodal approach, nutritional support is a crucial intervention that needs to be applied as early as possible to avoid wasting, especially in old (>65 years), chronically ill patients.⁷² It is generally recommended to increase protein intake up to 1.2–1.5 g/kg of body weight/day to prevent the development of sarcopenia (except in patients with advanced CKD and estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 ml/min/1.73 m² or patients on hemodialysis).⁷³ In a study by Rozentryt et al,⁷⁴ recruiting chronic HF patients with cachexia, a diet with high protein content (20 g) led to weight gain and a significant improvement in the inflammatory profile and clinical outcome after 18 weeks ($P < 0.05$ for both). An improvement in whole body protein synthesis was observed in non-small-cell lung cancer⁷⁵ and COPD⁷⁶ patients who received essential amino-acid supplementation. To decrease systemic tissue depletion, omega-3 fatty acids have also been investigated⁷⁷: several studies have shown positive results with regards to weight gain, reduced skeletal muscle loss, and improvement in quality of life.^{78,79} However, there are currently no validated recommendations for preventing

or counteracting wasting in chronically ill patients. There has been a limited number of studies focusing specifically on nutritional interventions against wasting syndromes and those that have been done were carried out in the context of different chronic disorders and at different stages. Therefore, it is difficult to find a consensus in this approach.⁸⁰

Targeting altered muscle metabolism by increasing anabolic activity through physical training has been explored as a therapeutic method in sarcopenic patients. Aerobic and resistance exercise have also demonstrated beneficial effects on inflammation and as a defense against oxidative stress.⁸¹ In 2011, the Heart Failure Association and the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation recommended regular and customized physical activity for the prevention of muscle atrophy in patients with HF.⁸² Nevertheless, physical activity or neuromuscular electrical stimulation cannot be applied to all chronically ill patients.⁸³ Whilst physical training proves to be effective as a preventive strategy in sarcopenic patients, this therapeutic approach is limited in cachectic individuals by different factors such as fatigue, exertional dyspnea, and pain⁸⁴ that characterize advanced chronic disease. Beneficial effects against cachexia that may be induced by physical training can also be reduced by non-compliance of the patient. For example, an experience involving a multimodal approach with free fatty acids, exercise, and an anti-inflammatory drug (celecoxib) showed a modest compliance (60%) towards physical activity in a small group of cachectic cancer patients.⁸⁵ In contrast, pharmacological therapy had a compliance of 76%, while nutritional approach, of only 48%.

Concerning pharmacological therapy against wasting disorders, anabolic compounds have been investigated as possible agents to reverse hypercatabolic processes. Recent findings were obtained in a small group of patients ($n = 22$) with cervical or head and neck cancer. In this randomized trial,⁸⁶ testosterone treatment showed a slight increase in lean body mass after 7 weeks compared with placebo (+3.2%; 95% CI, 0%–7% vs –3.3%; 95% CI, –7% to 1%, respectively; $P = 0.015$). This result was also correlated with improved quality of life and preserved physical activity. The groups (testosterone vs placebo) did not differ in terms of fat mass loss, suggesting testosterone as ineffective in reducing fat depletion in these patients. The use of testosterone supplementation was also combined with growth hormone replacement therapy in a restricted group ($n = 5$) of chronic HF patients.⁸⁷ This pilot study reported a significant increase in the cardiopulmonary function (left ventricular ejection fraction +5.4%; $P < 0.01$ and peak oxygen consumption +19.3%; $P < 0.01$) after 1 year of growth hormone monotherapy, and a significant improvement in muscular strength (+17.5%; $P < 0.01$) after an additional year of combined therapy. Moreover, testosterone showed positive

results in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial involving 101 men with end-stage liver disease and low levels of serum testosterone: after 12 months of follow-up there was a significant increase in lean mass, bone mass, and bone density, assessed by DEXA, and a significant decrease in fat mass in the study group compared with the placebo group.⁸⁸ Despite these promising results, researchers and clinicians must also consider the adverse events of testosterone-based supplementation therapy, such as an increased risk of cardiovascular events.⁸⁹ For this reason, testosterone has not been implemented in the regular management of wasting disorders in chronically ill patients so far.⁷²

Selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs) may constitute a valid alternative to unselective anabolic steroids, particularly testosterone, in treating wasting syndromes. Most data come from investigations on cancer-related cachexia. The selective anabolic effects of SARM-2f against wasting processes were explored in castrated mice with cancer-induced cachexia⁹⁰: this compound, resulting in an increase in body weight, lean mass, and the mass of individual muscles, did not influence fat mass, demonstrating selective modulation of anabolic metabolism in the skeletal muscle system. Castrated male mice have been used for testing MK-4541, an androgen receptor agonist with a 5 α -reductase inhibitor function.⁹¹ Similar to SARM-2f, this modified SARM exhibited anabolic effects and improved muscle function. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial enrolling 159 cancer patients was designed to investigate another SARM agent, enobosarm, and its potential muscle-protective role in cachexia.⁹² Over 4 months, both treatment arms of cancer patients exhibited a significant increase in total lean body mass (enobosarm 1 mg/d: median, 1.5 kg; range, 2.1–12.6 kg; $P = 0.0012$; enobosarm 3 mg/d: median, 1.0 kg; range, –4.8 to 11.5 kg; $P = 0.046$), while no significant changes were observed in the placebo group (median, 0.02 kg; range, –5.8 to 6.7 kg; $P = 0.88$). However, the compound did not influence muscle strength or physical performance in these patients, resulting in a suboptimal therapeutic effect for improvement of clinical outcomes.

Other synthetic agents modulating appetite have lately emerged in the treatment of cachexia. Ghrelin, an endogenous ligand for the growth hormone secretagogue receptor, secreted by the stomach and pancreas, promotes appetite and, consequently, food intake. In addition, it plays a key role in the modulation of cellular energy metabolism.⁹³ Acylated ghrelin was intravenously administered for 3 weeks in a restricted number of HF patients ($n = 10$). Positive results such as improvement of muscle strength and increased lean body mass were observed in this study.⁹⁴ Anamorelin, a ghrelin receptor agonist, was tested in tumor-bearing mice and showed positive results in preventing, but not reversing,

reduced food intake and weight loss when administered in early stages of cancer.⁹⁵ Promising results regarding oral administration of anamorelin in cancer patients with cachexia come from Japan—in a phase II randomized trial,⁹⁶ 181 non-small-cell lung cancer patients were divided into 3 groups: one receiving 50 mg of anamorelin daily, the second, 100 mg of anamorelin daily, and the third one receiving placebo. Lean mass change, muscle strength, body weight, performance status, and quality of life were examined after 12 weeks. After the follow-up period, the group treated with 100 mg of anamorelin exhibited an improvement in all these variables except muscle strength. Interestingly, the agent was approved in Japan in December 2020 for the treatment of cancer cachexia in 4 types of carcinoma (gastric cancer, non-small-cell lung cancer, colorectal cancer, and pancreatic cancer), but not by the United States Food and Drug Administration and European Medicines Agency, which requested a further phase III study that is currently underway.⁹⁷ This is the first step towards a promising frontier of cachexia management. However, the potential use of these novel agents to treat wasting syndromes is limited by multiple factors: study groups are heterogeneous in terms of cancer entity and cancer stage. Moreover, the majority of clinical trials investigate cancer patients: data on patients with other chronic diseases and cachexia are scarce or come from small studies.⁹⁸

β -Blockers have shown muscle-protective properties. Patients with HF (with left ventricular ejection fraction <25% and dyspnea at rest or with minimal exertion; n = 2289) were recruited in the COPERNICUS (Carvedilol Prospective Randomized Cumulative Survival) trial⁹⁹ and randomized to treatment with carvedilol (n = 1156) or placebo (n = 1133). It was reported that the group receiving carvedilol preserved the body weight in comparison with the placebo group during 24 months of follow-up. In addition, carvedilol prevented cachexia in this study population: 10% of patients in the carvedilol group in comparison with 14% in the placebo group developed cachexia during the follow-up period ($P = 0.005$).

β -Blockers have been also adopted in the treatment of cancer cachexia. Through β and central 5-HT₁ α receptors, espidolol exerts proanabolic (by stimulating β_2 receptors) and anticatabolic (by blocking β_1 receptors) effects. This drug was studied in a randomized placebo-controlled trial (ACT-ONE) involving 87 patients with non-small-cell lung cancer or colorectal cancer who developed cachexia.¹⁰⁰ A weight gain of 2.83 kg (95% CI, 1.00–3.68) was observed in the treatment group compared with a weight loss of 0.99 kg (95% CI, –3.97 to 1.52) in the placebo group. The group receiving espidolol showed an increased lean body mass in comparison with the placebo group (1.76 kg; 95% CI, 1.43–3.18 vs 0.57 kg; 95% CI, –0.01 to 1.71; $P = 0.012$), and improved muscle strength measured through the handgrip strength

test ($P = 0.013$). Despite initial promising results, larger studies are needed to validate the effects of β -blockers on cachectic patients.

Conclusions Sarcopenia and cachexia are frequent comorbidities in chronically ill patients, they aggravate the underlying disease and negatively influence the clinical outcome. As such, more research is needed to better understand the underlying mechanisms, which is essential for the development of customized diagnostic and therapeutic targets for both conditions. Furthermore, worldwide accepted definitions are required for sarcopenia and cachexia in order to facilitate earlier diagnosis and enable clinicians to make better treatment decisions. Additionally, future directions should explore effective preventive strategies against wasting syndromes. An increase in the number and scale of clinical trials is also warranted to confirm the safety and effectiveness of therapeutic agents in patients with chronic diseases.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We thank Nicola R. Brindle for proofreading of the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST SvH received consulting fees and/or honoraria from AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, BRAHMS, Chugai, Grünenthal, Helsinn, Hexal, Novartis, Pharmacosmos, Respicardia, Roche, Servier, Sorin, and Vifor as well as research support from Amgen, Boehringer Ingelheim, IMI, and the German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK). JS received consulting fees from Actimed Therapeutics and research support from Boehringer Ingelheim. AJC received honoraria and/or lecture fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Menarini, Novartis, Servier, Vifor, Abbott, Actimed, Arena, Cardiac Dimensions, Corvia, CVRx, Enopace, ESN Cleer, Faraday, Impulse Dynamics, Respicardia, and Viatrix. MSA received personal fees from Servier, outside the submitted work. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons AttributionNonCommercialShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only. For commercial use, please contact the journal office at pamw@mp.pl.

HOW TO CITE Lena A, Hadzibegovic S, von Haehling S, et al. Sarcopenia and cachexia in chronic diseases: from mechanisms to treatment. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2021; 131: 16135. doi:10.20452/pamw.16135

REFERENCES

- Fielding RA, Vellas B, Evans WJ, et al. Sarcopenia: an undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International Working Group on Sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2011; 12: 249–256. [↗](#)
- Lena A, Anker MS, Springer J. Muscle wasting and sarcopenia in heart failure - the current state of science. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020; 21: 6549. [↗](#)
- Zopf Y, Schink K, Reljic D, et al. Assessing cachexia in older patients: different definitions – but which one is the most practical for clinical routine? *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2020; 86: 103943. [↗](#)
- Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011; 12: 489–495. [↗](#)
- Evans WJ, Morley JE, Argiles J, et al. Cachexia: a new definition. *Clin Nutr.* 2008; 27: 793–799. [↗](#)
- Dolly A, Dumas JF, Servais S. Cancer cachexia and skeletal muscle atrophy in clinical studies: what do we really know? *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 2020; 11: 1413–1428. [↗](#)
- Bielecka-Dabrowa A, Ebner N, Dos Santos MR, et al. Cachexia, muscle wasting, and frailty in cardiovascular disease. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 2020; 22: 2314–2326. [↗](#)
- Thorvaldsen T, Lund LH. Improving outcomes in heart failure requires improving implementation of heart failure therapy. *Pol Arch Intern Med.* 2019; 129: 73–74. [↗](#)
- Koppe L, Fouque D, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Kidney cachexia or protein-energy wasting in chronic kidney disease: facts and numbers. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle.* 2019; 10: 479–484. [↗](#)

- 10 Mullens W, Damman K, Testani JM, et al. Evaluation of kidney function throughout the heart failure trajectory – a position statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2020; 22: 584-603. [↗](#)
- 11 Ylli D, Klubo-Gwiedzinska J, Wartofsky L. Thyroid emergencies. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2019; 129: 526-534. [↗](#)
- 12 Sepulveda-Loyola W, Osadnik C, Phu S, et al. Diagnosis, prevalence, and clinical impact of sarcopenia in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 1164-1176. [↗](#)
- 13 Miarka M, Gibinski K, Kotulski M, et al. Liver volume: a point of no return in liver transplantation? *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2020; 130: 622-628. [↗](#)
- 14 von Haehling S, Garfias Macedo T, Valentova M, et al. Muscle wasting as an independent predictor of survival in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 1242-1249. [↗](#)
- 15 Lena A, Coats AJS, Anker MS. Metabolic disorders in heart failure and cancer. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2018; 5: 1092-1098. [↗](#)
- 16 Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing*. 2019; 48: 601. [↗](#)
- 17 Mayhew AJ, Amog K, Phillips S, et al. The prevalence of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults, an exploration of differences between studies and within definitions: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Age Ageing*. 2019; 48: 48-56. [↗](#)
- 18 Fülster S, Tacke M, Sandek A, et al. Muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure: results from the Studies Investigating Co-Morbidities Aggravating Heart Failure (SICA-HF). *Eur Heart J*. 2013; 34: 512-519. [↗](#)
- 19 Benz E, Trajanoska K, Lahousse L, et al. Sarcopenia in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev*. 2019; 28: 190049. [↗](#)
- 20 Tegels JJ, van Vugt JL, Reisinger KW, et al. Sarcopenia is highly prevalent in patients undergoing surgery for gastric cancer but not associated with worse outcomes. *J Surg Oncol*. 2015; 112: 403-407. [↗](#)
- 21 von Haehling S, Anker MS, Anker SD. Prevalence and clinical impact of cachexia in chronic illness in Europe, USA, and Japan: facts and numbers update 2016. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2016; 7: 507-509. [↗](#)
- 22 Hadzibegovic S, Sikorski P, Potthoff SK, et al. Clinical problems of patients with cachexia due to chronic illness: a congress report. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2020; 7: 3414-3420. [↗](#)
- 23 Deane CS, Wilkinson DJ, Phillips BE, et al. "Nutraceuticals" in relation to human skeletal muscle and exercise. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*. 2017; 312: E282-E299. [↗](#)
- 24 Curcio F, Testa G, Liguori I, et al. Sarcopenia and heart failure. *Nutrients*. 2020; 12: 211. [↗](#)
- 25 von Haehling S. The wasting continuum in heart failure: from sarcopenia to cachexia. *Proc Nutr Soc*. 2015; 74: 367-377. [↗](#)
- 26 Penna F, Ballaro R, Martinez-Cristobal P, et al. Autophagy exacerbates muscle wasting in cancer cachexia and impairs mitochondrial function. *J Mol Biol*. 2019; 431: 2674-2686. [↗](#)
- 27 Yoshida T, Delafontaine P. Mechanisms of cachexia in chronic disease states. *Am J Med Sci*. 2015; 350: 250-256. [↗](#)
- 28 Verzola D, Barisione C, Picciotto D, et al. Emerging role of myostatin and its inhibition in the setting of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2019; 95: 506-517. [↗](#)
- 29 Gruson D, Ahn SA, Ketelslegers JM, Rousseau MF. Increased plasma myostatin in heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2011; 13: 734-736. [↗](#)
- 30 Bataille S, Chauveau P, Fouque D, et al. Myostatin and muscle atrophy during chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2021; 36: 1986-1993. [↗](#)
- 31 Cheung AS, Gray H, Schache AG, et al. Androgen deprivation causes selective deficits in the biomechanical leg muscle function of men during walking: a prospective case-control study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017; 8: 102-112. [↗](#)
- 32 Jankowska EA, Biel B, Majda J, et al. Anabolic deficiency in men with chronic heart failure: prevalence and detrimental impact on survival. *Circulation*. 2006; 114: 1829-1837. [↗](#)
- 33 Paternostro R, Heinisch BB, Reiberger T, et al. Dysbalanced sex hormone status is an independent predictor of decompensation and mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis. *Hepatol Res*. 2019; 49: 201-211. [↗](#)
- 34 Parimisetty A, Dorsemans AC, Awada R, et al. Secret talk between adipose tissue and central nervous system via secreted factors – an emerging frontier in the neurodegenerative research. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2016; 13: 67. [↗](#)
- 35 Williams KW, Scott MM, Elmquist JK. From observation to experimentation: leptin action in the mediobasal hypothalamus. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 89: 985S-990S. [↗](#)
- 36 Mannelli M, Gamberi T, Magherini F, Fiaschi T. The adipokines in cancer cachexia. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020; 21: 4860. [↗](#)
- 37 Yang Q, Yan C, Wang X, Gong Z. Leptin induces muscle wasting in a zebrafish kras-driven hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) model. *Dis Model Mech*. 2019; 12: dnm038240. [↗](#)
- 38 McEntegart MB, Awede B, Petrie MC, et al. Increase in serum adiponectin concentration in patients with heart failure and cachexia: relationship with leptin, other cytokines, and B-type natriuretic peptide. *Eur Heart J*. 2007; 28: 829-835. [↗](#)
- 39 Tsukamoto O, Fujita M, Kato M, et al. Natriuretic peptides enhance the production of adiponectin in human adipocytes and in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; 53: 2070-2077. [↗](#)
- 40 Loncar G, Bozic B, von Haehling S, et al. Association of adiponectin with peripheral muscle status in elderly patients with heart failure. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2013; 24: 818-823. [↗](#)
- 41 von Haehling S, Morley JE, Anker SD. From muscle wasting to sarcopenia and myopenia: update 2012. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2012; 3: 213-217. [↗](#)
- 42 Anker MS, Sanz AP, Zamorano JL, et al. Advanced cancer is also a heart failure syndrome – a hypothesis. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2021; 23: 140-144. [↗](#)
- 43 Anker MS, von Haehling S, Coats AJS, et al. Ventricular tachycardia, premature ventricular contractions, and mortality in unselected patients with lung, colon, or pancreatic cancer: a prospective study. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2021; 23: 145-153. [↗](#)
- 44 Albrecht A, Porthun J, Eucker J, et al. Spontaneous non-sustained ventricular tachycardia and premature ventricular contractions and their prognostic relevance in patients with cancer in routine care. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021; 13: 2303. [↗](#)
- 45 Lena A, Ebner N, Anker MS. Cardiac cachexia. *Eur Heart J Suppl*. 2019; 21: L24-L27. [↗](#)
- 46 Lena A, Ebner N, Coats AJS, Anker MS. Cardiac cachexia: the mandate to increase clinician awareness. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*. 2019; 13: 298-304. [↗](#)
- 47 Belloum Y, Rannou-Bekono F, Favier FB. Cancer-induced cardiac cachexia: pathogenesis and impact of physical activity (Review). *Oncol Rep*. 2017; 37: 2543-2552. [↗](#)
- 48 Willis MS, Parry TL, Brown DI, et al. Doxorubicin exposure causes sub-acute cardiac atrophy dependent on the striated muscle-specific ubiquitin ligase MuRF1. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2019; 12: e005234. [↗](#)
- 49 Veltkamp R, Uhlmann S, Marinescu M, et al. Experimental ischaemic stroke induces transient cardiac atrophy and dysfunction. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019; 10: 54-62. [↗](#)
- 50 Weerink LBM, van der Hoorn A, van Leeuwen BL, de Bock GH. Low skeletal muscle mass and postoperative morbidity in surgical oncology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 636-649. [↗](#)
- 51 Huang CY, Yang YC, Chen TC, et al. Muscle loss during primary debulking surgery and chemotherapy predicts poor survival in advanced-stage ovarian cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 534-546. [↗](#)
- 52 Ebadi M, Bhanji RA, Dunichand-Hoedl AR, et al. Sarcopenia severity based on computed tomography image analysis in patients with cirrhosis. *Nutrients*. 2020; 12: 3463. [↗](#)
- 53 Ebadi M, Wang CW, Lai JC, et al. Poor performance of psoas muscle index for identification of patients with higher waitlist mortality risk in cirrhosis. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018; 9: 1053-1062. [↗](#)
- 54 Albano D, Messina C, Vitale J, Sconfienza LM. Imaging of sarcopenia: old evidence and new insights. *Eur Radiol*. 2020; 30: 2199-2208. [↗](#)
- 55 Gruchala-Niedoszytko M, Niedoszytko P, Kaczan M, et al. Cardiopulmonary exercise test and bioimpedance as prediction tools to predict the outcomes of obesity treatment. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2019; 129: 225-233. [↗](#)
- 56 Aleixo GFP, Shachar SS, Nyrop KA, et al. Bioelectrical impedance analysis for the assessment of sarcopenia in patients with cancer: a systematic review. *Oncologist*. 2020; 25: 170-182. [↗](#)
- 57 Shah P, Abel AAI, Boyalla V, et al. A comparison of non-invasive methods of measuring body composition in patients with heart failure: a report from SICA-HF. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2021; 8: 3929-3934. [↗](#)
- 58 Sato M, Inai K, Shimizu M, et al. Bioelectrical impedance analysis in the management of heart failure in adult patients with congenital heart disease. *Congenit Heart Dis*. 2019; 14: 167-175. [↗](#)
- 59 Scicchitano P, Ciccone MM, Passantino A, et al. Congestion and nutrition as determinants of bioelectrical phase angle in heart failure. *Heart Lung*. 2020; 49: 724-728. [↗](#)
- 60 Gonzalez MC, Barbosa-Silva TG, Heymsfield SB. Bioelectrical impedance analysis in the assessment of sarcopenia. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2018; 21: 366-374. [↗](#)
- 61 Palus S, Springer J. Biomarkers for cancer cachexia: where do we stand? *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 1388-1389. [↗](#)
- 62 Wang C, Dong X, Wei L, et al. The relationship of appetite-regulating hormones in the development of cardiac cachexia. *Int Heart J*. 2019; 60: 384-391. [↗](#)
- 63 Baczek J, Silkiewicz M, Wojszel ZB. Myostatin as a biomarker of muscle wasting and other pathologies - state of the art and knowledge gaps. *Nutrients*. 2020; 12: 2401. [↗](#)
- 64 Lima J, Simoes E, de Castro G, et al. Tumour-derived transforming growth factor-beta signalling contributes to fibrosis in patients with cancer cachexia. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019; 10: 1045-1059. [↗](#)
- 65 Zhong X, Pons M, Poirier C, et al. The systemic activin response to pancreatic cancer: implications for effective cancer cachexia therapy. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019; 10: 1083-1101. [↗](#)

- 66 Costa RGF, Caro PL, de Matos-Neto EM, et al. Cancer cachexia induces morphological and inflammatory changes in the intestinal mucosa. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019; 10: 1116-1127. [↗](#)
- 67 Riccardi D, das Neves RX, de Matos-Neto EM, et al. Plasma lipid profile and systemic inflammation in patients with cancer cachexia. *Front Nutr*. 2020; 7: 4. [↗](#)
- 68 Byerley LO, Lee SH, Redmann S, et al. Evidence for a novel serum factor distinct from zinc alpha-2 glycoprotein that promotes body fat loss early in the development of cachexia. *Nutr Cancer*. 2010; 62: 484-494. [↗](#)
- 69 Murphy L, Gray A, Joyce E. Anabolism to catabolism: serologic clues to nutritional status in heart failure. *Curr Heart Fail Rep*. 2019; 16: 189-200. [↗](#)
- 70 Burkart M, Schieber M, Basu S, et al. Evaluation of the impact of cachexia on clinical outcomes in aggressive lymphoma. *Br J Haematol*. 2019; 186: 45-53. [↗](#)
- 71 Curcio F, Ferro G, Basile C, et al. Biomarkers in sarcopenia: a multifactorial approach. *Exp Gerontol*. 2016; 85: 1-8. [↗](#)
- 72 Morley JE. Pharmacologic options for the treatment of sarcopenia. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2016; 98: 319-333. [↗](#)
- 73 Morley JE, Argiles JM, Evans WJ, et al. Nutritional recommendations for the management of sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2010; 11: 391-396. [↗](#)
- 74 Rozentritt P, von Haehling S, Lainscak M, et al. The effects of a high-caloric protein-rich oral nutritional supplement in patients with chronic heart failure and cachexia on quality of life, body composition, and inflammation markers: a randomized, double-blind pilot study. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2010; 1: 35-42. [↗](#)
- 75 Engelen M, Safar AM, Bartsch T, et al. High anabolic potential of essential amino acid mixtures in advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Oncol*. 2015; 26: 1960-1966. [↗](#)
- 76 Jonker R, Deutz NE, Erland ML, et al. Effectiveness of essential amino acid supplementation in stimulating whole body net protein anabolism is comparable between COPD patients and healthy older adults. *Metabolism*. 2017; 69: 120-129. [↗](#)
- 77 D'Angelo S, Motti ML, Meccariello R. ω -3 and ω -6 polyunsaturated fatty acids, obesity and cancer. *Nutrients*. 2020; 12: 2751. [↗](#)
- 78 de van der Schueren MAE, Laviano A, Blanchard H, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the evidence for oral nutritional intervention on nutritional and clinical outcomes during chemo(radio)therapy: current evidence and guidance for design of future trials. *Ann Oncol*. 2018; 29: 1141-1153. [↗](#)
- 79 Lavriv DS, Neves PM, Ravasco P. Should omega-3 fatty acids be used for adjuvant treatment of cancer cachexia? *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2018; 25: 18-25. [↗](#)
- 80 Brook MS, Wilkinson DJ, Atherton PJ. An update on nutrient modulation in the management of disease-induced muscle wasting: evidence from human studies. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2020; 23: 174-180. [↗](#)
- 81 Cole CL, Kleckner IR, Jatoti A, et al. The role of systemic inflammation in cancer-associated muscle wasting and rationale for exercise as a therapeutic intervention. *JCSM Clin Rep*. 2018; 3: e00065. [↗](#)
- 82 Piepoli MF, Conraads V, Corrà U, et al. Exercise training in heart failure: from theory to practice. A consensus document of the Heart Failure Association and the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2011; 13: 347-357. [↗](#)
- 83 Saitoh M, Dos Santos MR, Anker M, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for muscle wasting in heart failure patients. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016; 225: 200-205. [↗](#)
- 84 Argiles JM, Busquets S, Lopez-Soriano FJ, et al. Are there any benefits of exercise training in cancer cachexia? *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2012; 3: 73-76. [↗](#)
- 85 Solheim TS, Laird BJA, Balstad TR, et al. A randomized phase II feasibility trial of a multimodal intervention for the management of cachexia in lung and pancreatic cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017; 8: 778-788. [↗](#)
- 86 Wright TJ, Dillon EL, Durham WJ, et al. A randomized trial of adjunct testosterone for cancer-related muscle loss in men and women. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2018; 9: 482-496. [↗](#)
- 87 Salzano A, Marra AM, Arcopinto M, et al. Combined effects of growth hormone and testosterone replacement treatment in heart failure. *ESC Heart Fail*. 2019; 6: 1216-1221. [↗](#)
- 88 Sinclair M, Grossmann M, Hoermann R, et al. Testosterone therapy increases muscle mass in men with cirrhosis and low testosterone: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hepatol*. 2016; 65: 906-913. [↗](#)
- 89 Gagliano-Jucá T, Basaria S. Testosterone replacement therapy and cardiovascular risk. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2019; 16: 555-574. [↗](#)
- 90 Morimoto M, Aikawa K, Hara T, Yamaoka M. Prevention of body weight loss and sarcopenia by a novel selective androgen receptor modulator in cancer cachexia models. *Oncol Lett*. 2017; 14: 8066-8071. [↗](#)
- 91 Chisamore MJ, Gentile MA, Dillon GM, et al. A novel selective androgen receptor modulator (SARM) MK-4541 exerts anti-androgenic activity in the prostate cancer xenograft R-3327G and anabolic activity on skeletal muscle mass & function in castrated mice. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2016; 163: 88-97. [↗](#)
- 92 Dobs AS, Boccia RV, Croot CC, et al. Effects of enobosarm on muscle wasting and physical function in patients with cancer: a double-blind, randomised controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013; 14: 335-345. [↗](#)
- 93 Komarowska H, Rucinski M, Fichna M, et al. Changes in total and acylated ghrelin levels during mitotane treatment in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma. *Pol Arch Intern Med*. 2019; 129: 469-475. [↗](#)
- 94 Nagaya N, Moriya J, Yasumura Y, et al. Effects of ghrelin administration on left ventricular function, exercise capacity, and muscle wasting in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation*. 2004; 110: 3674-3679. [↗](#)
- 95 Bernardo B, Joaquim S, Garren J, et al. Characterization of cachexia in the human fibrosarcoma HT-1080 mouse tumour model. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2020; 11: 1813-1829. [↗](#)
- 96 Takayama K, Katakami N, Yokoyama T, et al. Anamorelin (ONO-7643) in Japanese patients with non-small cell lung cancer and cachexia: results of a randomized phase 2 trial. *Support Care Cancer*. 2016; 24: 3495-3505. [↗](#)
- 97 Wakabayashi H, Arai H, Inui A. The regulatory approval of anamorelin for treatment of cachexia in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, gastric cancer, pancreatic cancer, and colorectal cancer in Japan: facts and numbers. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2021; 12: 14-16. [↗](#)
- 98 Blum RA, Mair S, Duus EM. Appetite and food intake results from phase I studies of anamorelin. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2019; 10: 1027-1035. [↗](#)
- 99 Clark AL, Coats AJS, Krum H, et al. Effect of beta-adrenergic blockade with carvedilol on cachexia in severe chronic heart failure: results from the COPERNICUS trial. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2017; 8: 549-556. [↗](#)
- 100 Stewart Coats AJ, Ho GF, Prabhaskar K, et al. Espindolol for the treatment and prevention of cachexia in patients with stage III/IV non-small cell lung cancer or colorectal cancer: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, international multicentre phase II study (the ACT-ONE trial). *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle*. 2016; 7: 355-365. [↗](#)