EDITORIAL

Factors influencing body weight changes in Polish adults over 60 years old during the COVID-19 era

Michail Chourdakis, Xenophon Theodoridis

Laboratory of Hygiene, Social and Preventive Medicine and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

RELATED ARTICLE

by Stepaniak et al

In this issues of *Polish Archives of Internal Medicine* Stepaniak et al¹ published an article evaluating body weight changes and factors that influenced these changes, as well as the effects of COVID-19 prevalence in Polish adults aged 60–84 years. This study is very interesting and contributes to the existing literature on the determinants of body weight changes during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Firstly, it is among the very first published studies conducted in Poland that recruited adults over 60 years old from the general population rather than the hospitalized ones, that is, that investigated a representative national sample. Furthermore, a large study sample size allowed the authors to use advanced statistical methods. Therefore, they could adjust for a plethora of confounders, minimize biases, and provide more accurate and precise findings with greater generalizability.² From a clinical perspective, the evaluation of body weight changes in adults aged over 60 years is of critical importance, as weight loss is often accompanied by lean mass and mainly muscle mass loss, which is detrimental to health--related outcomes, especially in this age group.³

Nevertheless, it is also important to acknowledge the presence of clinical and methodological flaws that may influence the accuracy of the estimates and conclusions owing to various biases. One of the major limitations of the study by Stepaniak et al¹ lies in the methods used to collect participant data. More specifically, the self--administration nature of the survey imports self--report biases, including social desirability and measurement error biases.⁴ It is vital to identify the potential effects of social desirability on the study outcomes during the design phase of the data collection method. There are systematic reviews highlighting the potential for self--report measurement methods to introduce overestimations or underestimations in adult physical activity levels.⁵ These findings underscore the need for careful consideration when employing self-report methods as a means of quantifying physical activity. Furthermore, meta-research studies indicate that there is a tendency among men and women to underreport body weight and body mass index, while simultaneously overreporting their height.⁶ These findings are consistent with the results of a Polish study that investigated a discrepancy between self-reported and objectively measured anthropometric indices.⁷ However, it is worth noting that these deviations have gradually decreased over the years.⁸

Another limitation of this study is the fact that the authors categorized continuous variables, such as body weight change and physical activity levels, which may have reduced the statistical power to detect a relationship and increased the risk of false-positive results.9 Moreover, the information provided in the article on whether the investigated individuals experienced an increase or decrease in body weight or did not present any change during the COVID-19 pandemic, may impact interpretation of the findings. It is widely accepted that a weight change of 5% from the baseline is clinically significant.¹⁰ This threshold helps health care professionals determine whether a patient has experienced a meaningful change in their weight, which could have implications for their overall health and treatment plan. The lack of details regarding the number of kilograms gained or lost impedes the readers' ability to assess the significance of weight change among the participants, and hinders appropriate interpretation and extrapolation of conclusions.

Although the findings of the present study are in agreement with data coming from the LOST in Lombardia study,¹¹ it would greatly benefit the research, if the authors evaluated the body

Correspondence to:

Michail Chourdakis, MD, MPH, PhD, Laboratory of Hygiene, Social and Preventive Medicine and Medical Statistics, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, University Campus, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece, phone: +302310999035, email: mhourd@gapps.auth.gr Received: October 31, 2023. Accepted: November 2, 2023 Published online: November 29, 2023. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2023: 133 (11): 16612 doi:10.20452/pamw.16612 Copyright by the Author(s), 2023

composition of the participants, particularly those experiencing weight loss. Considering that weight loss is most often accompanied by a reduction in lean body mass, including muscle mass, this may be the reason for the reported adverse effects on the health-related outcomes, rather than the weight loss primarily involving fat mass reduction.¹²

ARTICLE INFORMATION

DISCLAIMER The opinions expressed by the author(s) are not necessarily those of the journal editors, Polish Society of Internal Medicine, or publisher. CONFLICT OF INTEREST None declared.

OPEN ACCESS This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited, distributed under the same license, and used for noncommercial purposes only.

HOW TO CITE Chourdakis M, Theodoridis X. Factors influencing body weight changes in Polish adults over 60 years old during the COVID-19 era. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2023; 133: 16612. doi:10.20452/pamw.16612

REFERENCES

1 Stepaniak U, Koziara K, Kozela M, et al. Determinants of body weight changes during COVID-19 pandemic in older urban residents. Pol Arch Intern Med. 2023; 133: 16493. ☑

2 Biau DJ, Kernéis S, Porcher R. Statistics in brief: the importance of sample size in the planning and interpretation of medical research. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2008; 466: 2282-2288. ☑

3 Li R, Xia J, Zhang X, et al. Associations of muscle mass and strength with all-cause mortality among US older adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2018; 50: 458-467. C^{*}

4 Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2016; 9: 211-217.

5 Prince S, Adamo K, Hamel M, et al. A comparison of direct versus selfreport measures for assessing physical activity in adults: a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2008; 5: 56. ☑

6 Gorber SC, Tremblay M, Moher D, Gorber B. A comparison of direct vs. self-report measures for assessing height, weight and body mass index: a systematic review. Obes Rev. 2007; 8: 307-326. ☑

7 Łopuszańska M, Lipowicz A, Kołodziej H, et al. Self-reported versus measured body height and weight in Polish adult men: the risk of underestimating obesity rates. Anthropol Anz. 2015; 72: 263-277. ♂

8 Stommel M, Osier N. Temporal changes in bias of body mass index scores based on self-reported height and weight. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013; 37: 461-467. 27

9 Altman DG, Royston P. The cost of dichotomising continuous variables. BMJ. 2006; 332: 1080. ☑

10 Domecq JP, Prutsky G, Leppin A, et al. Clinical review: drugs commonly associated with weight change: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 100: 363-370. ☑

11 Stival C, Lugo A, Bosetti C, et al. COVID-19 confinement impact on weight gain and physical activity in the older adult population: data from the LOST in Lombardia study. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2022; 48: 329-335. C^*

12 Cava E, Yeat NC, Mittendorfer B. Preserving healthy muscle during weight loss. Adv Nutr. 2017; 8: 511-519. ☑