## **EDITORIAL**

# Inhibiting the renin-angiotensin system in patients with type 1 diabetes: is it worth it?

## Irena Duka, George Bakris

Department of Medicine, Hypertensive Diseases Unit, University of Chicago Pritzker School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, United States

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is responsible for more than 45% of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) and is the leading cause of blindness in adults aged between 20 and 74 years in the United States.<sup>1</sup> The magnitude and duration of glycemic control reduces the risk of these complications although it is difficult to sustain over many years.<sup>2</sup> Blood pressure control also reduces the risk of kidney disease progression but very few studies examine blunting the rise in blood pressure over time compared to reducing the level once persistently elevated.

Initial studies in the 1980s demonstrated that angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors prevent development of early changes in glomerular morphology and albuminuria in animal models of type 1 diabetes.<sup>3,4</sup> Since then, outcome studies on humans have demonstrated a clear benefit of renin-angiotensin system (RAS) blockers in slowing proteinuric nephropathy.<sup>5,6</sup> These clinical outcome trials were performed in patients with advanced nephropathy (i.e., glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <45 ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) and proteinuria greater than 300 mg/day. They included patients with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes.<sup>7</sup> Based on these trials both ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) are recommended by various guidelines as an initial part of an antihypertensive regimen with a goal of lowering blood pressure to <130/80 mmHg so as to slow nephropathy progression in patients with proteinuria, DM, decreased GFR.<sup>8,9</sup> The question remains, however, what about early diabetic nephropathy, i.e., estimated GFR <60 ml/min with little to no albuminuria - are these agents effective in this setting or is blood pressure reduction all that is needed?

In their study, Mauer et al. assessed the effect of RAS blockade with either an ACE inhibitor or an ARB on both renal and retinal morphologic features in normotensive patients with type 1 diabetes who were normoalbuminuric.<sup>10</sup> They did not find any benefit in nephropathy progression based on changes in glomerular morphological with RAS blockers in this cohort after 5 years. Conversely, there was a reduction in progression of diabetic retinopathy by 65% and 70% with enalapril and losartan, respectively.

How do these results fit with known evidence? There is little solid evidence showing superiority of RAS blockade vs. other antihypertensive drug classes in slowing nephropathy progression in early-stage nephropathy, i.e., estimated GFR >60 ml/min, in the presence or absence of microalbuminuria. The ABCD trial (Appropriate Blood Pressure Control and Diabetes) did not show any benefit of ACE inhibition over calcium channel blockade in patients with type 2 diabetes for reduction in albuminuria or nephropathy progression assessed by creatinine clearance.<sup>11,12</sup> In this study, the achieved blood pressure was around 130/80 mmHg in most people. This lack of unique benefit on nephropathy progression by ACE inhibitors was also seen in a post-hoc analysis of large community-based trials such as the ALLHAT (Antihypertensive Lipid Lowering Hypertension Trial).<sup>13</sup> In addition to this study, meta-analyses of outcome trials in early nephropathy, i.e., estimated GFR >60 ml/min, demonstrated no unique advantage of RAS blockade for slowing nephropathy over other classes of antihypertensive medications in patients without proteinuria at levels higher than 300 mg/day. This meta-analysis, however, clearly confirms the benefit of RAS blockade on nephropathy progression when proteinuria exceeds 500 mg/day.<sup>14</sup>

Although the guidelines initially encouraged the use of RAS blockers in both early and advanced nephropathy, there was no evidence to support their use in early nephropathy. Most clinical trials that intervened in early nephropathy only followed the urinary albumin excretion, without looking at the decline in kidney function or morphologic changes in the kidney.

### Correspondence to:

Prof. George Bakris MD, Hypertensive Diseases Unit, University of Chicago Medical Center, 5841 S. Maryland Ave., MC 1027, Chicago, IL 60637, phone: +1-773-702-79-36, fax: +1-773-834-04-86, e-mail: gbakris@gmail.com Received: September 05, 2009. Accepted: September 05, 2009. Conflict of interests: none declared. Pol Arch Med Wewn. 2009; 119 (11): 692-693 Copyright by Medycyna Praktyczna, Kraków 2009 Microalbuminuria alone is not an indicator of nephropathy because of its high variability and nonspecificity.<sup>15,16</sup> Although microalbuminuria is associated with vascular inflammation<sup>17</sup> and increased cardiovascular risk, by itself it does not equate to presence of nephropathy, unless it continues to increase over time in spite of blood pressure levels well below 140/90 mmHg.

RAS is involved in increased vascular permeability during early stage of diabetic retinopathy; this effect is mediated by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).<sup>18-20</sup> Theoretically, these agents could be affecting a central mechanism of retinopathy related to ocular VEGF, as they inhibit its action.<sup>21,22</sup> RAS blockade has demonstrated beneficial effects in animal studies of retinopathy and may have a therapeutic role in its treatment.<sup>19,20,23,24</sup> Clinical evidence to support this finding came from the recent study by Mauer et al. They clearly demonstrated benefits for prevention of retinal disease progression.

This recent observation demonstrating that RAS blockade slows retinopathy progression extends earlier data from the EUCLID trial that supported a benefit of ACE inhibition to be associated with less retinopathy in type 1 diabetes.<sup>24</sup> Additionally, The DIRECT trial (Diabetic Retinopathy Candesartan Trial) showed that ARBs reduced the rate of retinopathy development in normotensive patients with type 1 diabetes and normoalbuminuria, who did not have diabetic retinopathy, but not in patients with mild-to-moderate diabetic retinopathy.<sup>25</sup>

How do we translate the findings of the current study by Mauer et al. to everyday practice and care of diabetic patient? First, RAS inhibition in normotensive patients with diabetes does not show unique protective benefits for preserving kidney function in the absence of albuminuria. If proteinuria exceeding 300 mg/day is present, then there is a clear indication for the use of these agents to slow nephropathy progression. Second, data that RAS blockade slows development of retinopathy are growing and should be considered especially in patients with poor glycemic control.

#### REFERENCES

 Foley RN, Collins AJ. End-stage renal disease in the United States: an update from the United States Renal Data System. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2007; 18: 2644-2648.

2 Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, et al. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008; 359: 1577-1589.

3 Dunn BR, Zatz R, Rennke HG, et al. Prevention of glomerular capillary hypertension in experimental diabetes mellitus obviates functional and structural glomerular injury. J Hypertens Suppl. 1986; 4: S251-S254.

4 Anderson S, Rennke HG, Brenner BM. Therapeutic advantage of converting enzyme inhibitors in arresting progressive renal disease associated with systemic hypertension in the rat. J Clin Invest. 1986; 77: 1993-2000.

5 Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. The Collaborative Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1993; 329: 1456-1462.

6 Jerums G, Panagiotopoulos S, Premaratne E, et al. Lowering of proteinuria in response to antihypertensive therapy predicts improved renal function in late but not in early diabetic nephropathy: a pooled analysis. Am J Nephrol. 2008; 28: 614-627.

7 Khosla N, Bakris G. Lessons learned from recent hypertension trials about kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2006; 1: 229-235.

8 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension. 2003; 42: 1206-1252.

9 KD00I Clinical Practice Guidelines and Clinical Practice Recommendations for Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2007; 49: S12-S154.

10 Mauer M, Zinman B, Gardiner R, et al. Renal and retinal effects of enalapril and losartan in type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2009: 361: 40-51.

11 Estacio RO, Jeffers BW, Gifford N, Schrier RW. Effect of blood pressure control on diabetic microvascular complications in patients with hypertension and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2000; 23 Suppl 2: B54-B64.

12 Schrier RW, Estacio RO, Mehler PS, Hiatt WR. Appropriate blood pressure control in hypertensive and normotensive type 2 diabetes mellitus: a summary of the ABCD trial. Nat Clin Pract Nephrol. 2007; 3: 428-438.

13 Rahman M, Pressel S, Davis BR, et al. Renal outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients treated with an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or a calcium channel blocker vs a diuretic: a report from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165: 936-946.

14 Casas JP, Chua W, Loukogeorgakis S, et al. Effect of inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system and other antihypertensive drugs on renal outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2005; 366: 2026-2033.

15 Steinke JM, Sinaiko AR, Kramer MS, et al. The early natural history of nephropathy in Type 1 Diabetes: III. Predictors of 5-year urinary albumin excretion rate patterns in initially normoalbuminuric patients. Diabetes. 2005; 54: 2164-2171.

16 Levey AS, Cattran D, Friedman A, et al. Proteinuria as a surrogate outcome in CKD: report of a scientific workshop sponsored by the National Kidney Foundation and the US Food and Drug Administration. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009; 54: 205-226.

17 Khosla N, Sarafidis PA, Bakris GL. Microalbuminuria. Clin Lab Med. 2006; 26: 635-653.

18 Curtis TM, Gardiner TA, Stitt AW. Microvascular lesions of diabetic retinopathy: clues towards understanding pathogenesis? Eye. 2009; 23: 1496-1508.

19 Sarlos S, Rizkalla B, Moravski CJ, et al. Retinal angiogenesis is mediated by an interaction between the angiotensin type 2 receptor, VEGF, and angiopoietin. Am J Pathol. 2003; 163: 879-887.

20 Zhang X, Lassila M, Cooper ME, Cao Z. Retinal expression of vascular endothelial growth factor is mediated by angiotensin type 1 and type 2 receptors. Hypertension. 2004; 43: 276-281.

21 Cury CE Jr, Rodrigues EB, Meyer CH, Farah ME. VEGF inhibitors and vitrectomy for diabetic vitreoretinopathy. Dev Ophthalmol. 2009; 44: 69-81.

22 Tremolada G, Lattanzio R, Mazzolari G, Zerbini G. The therapeutic potential of VEGF inhibition in diabetic microvascular complications. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2007; 7: 393-398.

23 Parving HH, Brenner BM, Cooper ME, et al. [Effect of losartan on renal and cardiovascular complications of patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy]. Ugeskr Laeger. 2001; 163: 5514-5519. Danish.

24 Chaturvedi N, Sjolie AK, Stephenson JM, et al. Effect of lisinopril on progression of retinopathy in normotensive people with type 1 diabetes. The EUCLID Study Group. EURODIAB Controlled Trial of Lisinopril in Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Lancet. 1998; 351: 28-31.

25 Chaturvedi N, Porta M, Klein R, et al. Effect of candesartan on prevention (DIRECT-Prevent 1) and progression (DIRECT-Protect 1) of retinopathy in type 1 diabetes: randomised, placebo-controlled trials. Lancet. 2008; 372: 1394-1402.