Balloon aortic valvuloplasty (BAV) was first introduced by Alain Cribier in 1986 as a therapeutic option for nonoperative patients with severe aortic stenosis (AS). While immediate hemodynamic parameters did improve with BAV, these improvements were modest at best, ameliorating the aortic valve gradient from severe to the less severe or moderate-to-severe range, and were only sustained for few weeks or months after the BAV procedure. In addition, the high mortality and complications associated with the early BAV procedure and its limited impact on long-term survival further decreased the enthusiasm for this procedure and limited its use to a palliative approach. The introduction of transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR), however, has renewed interest in BAV as a bridge to definitive treatment or to decision making. From 2004 to 2013, the annual number of BAV cases increased from 707 to 3715 in the United States. The 2014 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease provided a class IIb recommendation for BAV stating that it may be considered a bridge to surgical or transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients with severe symptomatic AS. The 2017 European Society of Cardiology guidelines provided several class IIb indications for BAV in patients with severe AS, including for palliative purposes, in those requiring urgent noncardiac surgery, for diagnostic purposes in patients with other con founding symptoms, and in those with other reversible organ dysfunctions to assess the response to BAV and potential benefit from subsequent escalation to TAVR.

Balloon aortic valvuloplasty is therefore an important procedure that should remain in the armamentarium of all interventional cardiologists, especially those performing structural heart disease interventions. It is in this context that the study by Tyczyński et al should be viewed. The investigators performed a retrospective analysis identifying patients who underwent elective and rescue BAV from July 2010 to August 2018 in their tertiary care center. Of the 35 cases identified, 16 were elective and 19 were rescue BAV procedures. Elective BAV was performed either for diagnostic purposes in patients with other potential causes of symptoms or as a therapeutic strategy for hemodynamically stable patients with severe myocardial dysfunction who had contraindications to TAVR. Rescue BAV was undertaken in patients with pulmonary edema, prior resuscitation, or cardiogenic shock requiring inotropic and diuretics. Of note, a successful BAV procedure, defined as ≥50% reduction in the mean aortic gradient without resultant moderate or severe aortic insufficiency, was only achieved in a single patient in the elective group. The reduction in the mean aortic pressure gradient was 5 mm Hg on average. In the rescue-BAV group, the periprocedural mortality rate was 21% (4 patients) compared with 0% in the elective group (P = 0.1). In-hospital mortality was notably higher in the rescue group at 63.1% (12 patients) compared with the elective group (3 patients [18.7%]; P < 0.01). The authors appropriately noted that the mortality observed in their study was higher than that presented in previously published reports and attributed it to a sicker patient population. They concluded that in-hospital mortality after BAV remained high and identified higher EuroSCORE II, intravenous diuretic use, intravenous inotropic use, and urgency of the procedure as predictors of increased mortality.
A successful BAV procedure depends on multiple factors including the severity of the gradient and the calcification of the valve itself, with higher gradients and more marked calcification portending less success. Success of BAV in congenital AS, as defined by post catheter-measured peak systolic ejection gradient $<35$ mm Hg and mild or not worse than baseline aortic regurgitation, has been achieved in up to 70.9% of patients. However, the pathology of calcific degenerative AS in older patients is completely different and involves significant leaflet calcification, thickening and mobility restriction, often with annular involvement, and aortic calcification. Senile calcific AS is not a predominantly commissural disease, as seen for example in rheumatic aortic valve disease where BAV is a definitive therapy. We conducted an earlier systematic review encompassing 27 studies and 4123 adult patients undergoing BAV for severe AS and demonstrated a change in the mean aortic gradient from 50.3 mm Hg to 24.6 mm Hg, an overall procedural mortality of 2.2%, and in-hospital mortality of 7.1% with BAV. We also shown an improvement in outcomes in the contemporary era (after 2005) compared with the pre-TAVR era, with decreased procedural and in-hospital mortality (1.5% vs 2.9% and 4.6% vs 8.5%, respectively) despite patients having more comorbidities (including a higher prevalence of heart failure) in the contemporary era. This may be attributed to increased operators’ experience with BAV and to technical and procedural improvements, including the use of a single-balloon inflation, rapid pacing, and the retrograde approach. Notably, we have shown that BAV using an antegrade approach may provide similar long-term outcomes to the retrograde approach and is associated with lesser vascular complications.

However, the antegrade BAV approach has been largely abandoned, because it involves a trans-septal access, is generally more complex, and requires a steeper learning curve and advanced expertise. An antegrade BAV approach may be reserved to selected patients with severe AS and significant peripheral arterial disease in whom a retrograde approach is not feasible.

The high mortality associated with the rescue BAV procedure in the study by Tyczyński et al. is not surprising. Predictors of in-hospital death after BAV include cardiogenic shock, coagulopathy, the need for mechanical support, and low institutional volume of BAV procedures. In a study of 44 patients presenting with cardiogenic shock due to acutely decompensated severe AS who underwent BAV, the rate of 1-month mortality was 47%. Univariate predictors of mortality included the preoperative use of dobutamine $>5$ µg/kg/min and delayed BAV performed later than 48 hours after presentation. One-year mortality or recurrence of cardiogenic shock was found to be 90% if BAV was delayed versus 59% if it was performed within the first 48 hours. However, these patients had a mean EuroSCORE II of 41.6%, compared with the average EuroSCORE II of 25% in the current study by Tyczyński et al.

Overall, a substantial mortality has been observed in patients with cardiogenic shock and severe AS who need urgent BAV. Advanced mechanical support (such as a tandem heart when available) and an expeditious BAV procedure undertaken before profound hypoperfusion, severe multiorgan dysfunction, and metabolic derangements occur may be needed to reduce mortality in these patients. Studies have also shown that a low institutional volume of BAV is associated with worse outcomes, with centers at the lowest quartile of annual procedure volume (1 to 2 procedures per year) having a 1.58-fold increased risk of in-hospital mortality compared with the highest volume centers (1.18 procedures per year).

The study by Tyczyński et al. adds to the current literature on the contemporary outcomes of BAV with a direct comparison of elective versus urgent BAV. Not unexpectedly, they demonstrated higher mortality in patients presenting for urgent BAV compared with their elective counterparts. With the advent of TAVR, there has been an increase in the adoption of BAV as a bridge to definitive treatment. However, given the risks associated with subjecting the patient to 2 procedures in a stepwise approach (BAV followed by staged TAVR), many experts are now advocating to perform with TAVR directly, especially given the technical advancement and increased expertise with TAVR. This study in a way reinforces this notion: although BAV outcomes have improved in the contemporary era due to increased operators’ experience and procedural refinement, the mortality in the setting of rescue BAV remains unacceptably high.
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